## Chemical Process Design



## INDEX

1.- Introduction

- Categories of total capital cost estimates
- Cost estimation method of Guthrie
2.- Short cuts for equipment sizing procedures
- Vessel (flash drums, storage tanks, decanters and some reactors)
- Reactors
- Heat transfer equipment (heat exchangers, furnaces and direct fired heaters)
- Distillation columns
- Absorbers columns
- Compressors (or turbines)
- Pumps
- Refrigeration
3.- Cost estimation of equipment
- Base costs for equipment units
- Guthrie's modular method
4.- Further Reading and References

Process Alternatives Synthesis (candidate flowsheet)
Analysis (Preliminary mass and energy balances)

## SIZING (Sizes and capacities)

COST ESTIMATION (Capital and operation)
Economic Analysis (economic criteria)

## SIZING

Calculation of all physical attributes that allow a unique costing of this unit

- Capacity, Height
-Cross sectional area
Short-cut, approximate calculations (correlations) $\rightarrow$ Quick obtaining of sizing parameters $\rightarrow$ Order of magnitude estimated parameters


## COST

Total Capital Investment or Capital Cost: Function of the process equipment $\rightarrow$ The sized equipment will be costed

* Approximate methods to estimate costs

Manufacturing Cost: Function of process equipment and utility charges

## Categories of total capital cost estimates

## based on accuracy of the estimate

| ESTIMATE | BASED ON | Error (\%) | Obtention | USEDTO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORDER OF MAGNITUDE (Ratio estimate) | Method of Hill, 1956. Production rate and PFD with compressors, reactors and separation equipments. Based on similar plants. | 40-50 | Very fast | Profitability analysis |
| STUDY | Overall Factor Method of Lang, 1947. Mass \& energy balance and equipment sizing. | 25-40 | Fast | Preliminary design |
| PRELIMINARY | Individual Factors Method of Guthrie, 1969, 1974. Mass \& energy balance, equipment sizing, construction materials and P\&ID. Enough data to budget estimation. | 15-25 | Medium | Budget approval |
| DEFINITIVE | Full data but before drawings and specifications. | 10-15 | Slow | Construction control |
| DETAILED | Detailed Engineering | 5-10 | Very slow | Turnkey contract |

## Cost Estimation Method of Guthrie

- Equipment purchase cost: Graphs and/or equations.

Based on a power law expression: Williams Law $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{BC}=\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{So})^{\alpha} \rightarrow$
$\rightarrow$ Economy of Scale (incremental cost C, decrease with larger capacities S)
Based on a polynomial expression $B C=\exp \left\{A_{0}+A_{1}[\ln (S)]+A_{2}[\ln (S)]^{2}+\ldots\right\}$

- Installation: Module Factor, MF, affected by BC, taking into account labor, piping instruments, accessories, etc.
Typical Value of MF=2.95 $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ equipment cost is almost 3 times the BC.
Installation $=(B C)(M F)-B C=B C(M F-1)$
- For special materials, high pressures and special designs abroad base capacities and costs (Co, So), the Materials and Pressure correction Factors, MPF, are defined.

$$
\text { Uninstalled Cost }=(B C)(M P F) \quad \text { Total Installed Cost }=\text { BC (MPF+MF-1) }
$$

- To update cost from mid-1968, an Update Factor, UF to account for inflation is apply.
UF: Present Cost Index/Base Cost index
Updated bare module cost: BMC = UF(BC) (MPF+MF-1)


## Materials and Pressure correction Factors: MPF

Empirical factors that modified BC and evaluate particular instances of equipment beyond a basic configuration: Uninstalled Cost = (BC x MPF)

MPF = $\Phi$ (Fd, Fm, Fp, Fo, Ft)

Fd: Design variation
Fp: Pressure variation

Fm: Construction material variation
Fo: Operating Limits ( $\Phi$ of T, P)

Ft: Mechanical refrigeration factor $\Phi$ (T evaporator)

| EQUIPMENT | MPF |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pressure Vessels | Fm . Fp |
| Heat Exchangers | Fm (Fp + Fd) |
| Furnaces, direct fired heaters, Tray stacks | Fm + Fp + Fd |
| Centrifugal pumps | Fm . Fo |
| Compressors | Fd |

## Equipment Sizing Procedures

Need $\underline{C}$ and MPF $\rightarrow$ required the flowsheet mass and energy balance (Flow, T, P, Q)

## An example of Cost Estimation




Pressure
Factor
Fp


Factor Base Modular Fbm

## 2.- EQUIPMENT SIZING PROCEDURES



Vessels


Short-cut calculations for the main equipment sizing

```
    \Delta Heat contents
```

Heat transfer equipment: Heat exchangers Furnaces and Direct Fired Heaters Refrigeration
$\Delta$
Composition

Q, P streams setting

Reactors
Columns, distillation and Absorption


Pumps, Compressors and Turbines


## SHORTCUTS for VESSEL SIZING (Flash drums, storage tanks, decanters and

 some reactors)1) Select the $V$ for liquid holdup; $\tau=5 \mathbf{m i n}+$ equal vapor volume


$$
\mathrm{V}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}} / \rho_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{*} \tau\right)^{*} 2
$$

2) Select $L=4 D$


$$
V=\pi D^{2} / 4^{*} L \rightarrow D=(V / \pi)^{1 / 3} ; \text { If } D \leq 1.2 \mathrm{~m} \text { Vertical, else Horizontal }
$$

-Materials of Construction appropriate to use with the Guthrie's factors and pressure ( $P_{\text {rated }}=1.5 P_{\text {actual }}$ )

## - Basic Configuration for pressure vessels

- Carbon steel vessel with 50 psig design $P$ and average nozzles and manways
- Vertical construction includes shell and two heads, the skirt, base rings and lugs, and possible tray supports.
- Horizontal construction includes shell, two heads and two saddles

MPF = Fm . Fp; Fm depending shell material configuration (clad or solid)


Materials of Construction for Pressure Vessels

| High Temperature Service |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tmax ( ${ }^{\circ}$ F) | Steel |
| 950 | Carbon steel (CS) |
| 1150 | 502 stainless steels (SS) |
| 1300 | 410 SS; 330 SS |
| 1500 | 304,321,347,316 SS. <br>  <br>  <br> 2000 |


| Low Temperature Service |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Tmin ( ${ }^{\circ}$ F) | Steel |
| -50 | Carbon steel (CS) |
| -75 | Nickel steel (A203) |
| -320 | Nickel steel (A353) |
| -425 | $302,304,310,347$ (SS) |

Guthrie Material and pressure factors for pressure vessels: MPF = Fm Fp

Shell Material
Carbon Steel (CS)
Stainless 316 (SS)
Monel (Ni:Cr/2:1 alloy)
Titanium
Vessel Pressure (psig)

| Up to | 50 | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 900 | 1000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fp | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.15 | 1.20 | 1.35 | 1.45 | 2.30 | 2.50 |

## SHORT CUT for REACTORS SIZING

First step of the preliminary design $\rightarrow$ Not kinetic model available.
Mass Balance based on Product distribution $\rightarrow$ High influence in final cost
Assumptions: Reactor equivalent to laboratory reactor, adiabatic reactors are isotherm at average T .

Assume space velocity ( S in $\mathbf{h}^{-1}$ )

$$
\mathrm{S}=(1 / \tau)=\mu / \rho \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cat}} ; \quad \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {cat }} / 1-\varepsilon
$$

$\mu=$ Flow rate; $\rho=$ molar density; $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cat }}=$ Volume of catalyst; $\varepsilon=$ Void fraction of catalyst (e.g. $\varepsilon=0.5$ )


## HEAT TRANSFER EQUIPMENT SIZING

## Heat exchanger types used in chemical process

By function

- Refrigerants (air or water) - Condensers (v, v+l $\rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ ) - Reboilers, vaporizers ( $\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{v}$ ) - Exchangers in general

By constructive shape

- Double pipe exchanger: the simplest one - Shell and tube exchangers: used for all applications
- Plate and frame exchangers
- Direct contact: used for cooling and quenching - Jacketed vessels, agitated vessels and internal coils
- Fired heaters: Furnaces and boilers

Shell and tube countercurrent exchanger, steady state
$\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \Delta \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{lm}}$
Q: From the energy balance
U: Estimation of heat transfer coefficient. Depending on configuration and media used in the Shell and Tube side: L-L, Condensing vapor-L, Gas-L, Vaporizers). (Perry's Handbook, 2008; www.tema.org).
A: Area
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Im}}$ : Logarithmic Mean $\Delta \mathrm{T}=(\mathrm{T} 1-\mathrm{t} 2)-(\mathrm{T} 2-\mathrm{t} 1) / \mathrm{ln}(\mathrm{T} 1-\mathrm{t} 2 / \mathrm{T} 2-\mathrm{t} 1)$

- If phase changes $\rightarrow$ Approximation of 2 heat exchangers ( $A=A 1+A 2$ )
- Maximum area A $\leq 1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$, else $\rightarrow$ Parallel HX

MPF: Fm (Fp + Fd)

| Guthrie Material and pressure factors for Heat Exchangers: MPF: Fm (Fp + Fd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Type | Fd |  | Vessel Pressure (psig) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kettle Reboiler | 1.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floating Head | 1.00 |  | Up to | 150 | 300 | 400 | 800 | 1000 |
| U Tube | 0.85 |  | Fp | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| Fixed tube sheet | 0.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shell/Tube Materials, Fm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface Area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CS/ } \\ & \text { CS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CS/ } \\ \text { Brass } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CS} / \\ & \mathrm{SS} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SS/ } \\ & \text { SS } \end{aligned}$ | CS/ <br> Mone | Monel Monel | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CS} / \\ \mathrm{Ti} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ti} / \\ \mathrm{Ti} \end{gathered}$ |
| Up to 100 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.54 | 2.50 | 2.00 | 3.20 | 4.10 | 10.28 |
| 100 to 500 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.78 | 3.10 | 2.30 | 3.50 | 5.20 | 10.60 |
| 500 to 1000 | 1.00 | 1.15 | 2.25 | 3.26 | 2.50 | 3.65 | 6.15 | 10.75 |
| 1000 to 5000 | 1.00 | 1.30 | 2.81 | 3.75 | 3.10 | 4.25 | 8.95 | 13.05 |

## FURNACES and DIRECT FIRED HEATERS (boilers,reboilers, pyrolysis, reformers)

Q = Absorbed duty from heat balance

- Radiant section ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}=37.6 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ heat flux) + Convection section ( $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}=12.5 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ heat flux). Equal heat transmission ( kW ) $\rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{rad}}=0.5 \times \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}} ; \mathrm{A}_{\text {conv }}=0.5 \times \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{c}}$
- Basic configuration for furnaces is given by a process heater with a box or Aframe construction, carbon steel tubes, and a 500 psig design $P$. This includes complete field erection.
- Direct fired heaters is given by a process heater with cylindrical construction, carbon steel tubes, and a 500 psig design.

| Guthrie MPF for Furnaces: MPF= Fm+Fp+Fd |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Design Type | $\underline{F d}$ |  |  |  |
| Process Heater | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Pyrolisis | 1.10 |  |  |  |
| Reformer | 1.35 |  |  |  |
| Vessel Pressure (psig) |  |  |  |  |
| Up to | 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 |
| Fp | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.25 |


| Guthrie MPF for Direct Fired Heaters |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MPF: Fm + Fp + Fd |  |  |
| Design Type | $\frac{\text { Fd }}{}$ |  |
| Cylindrical | 1.00 |  |
| Dowtherm | 1.33 |  |
| Vessel Pressure (psig) |  |  |
| Up to | 500 | 1000 |
|  | 1500 |  |
| Fp | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| Radiant Tube | 0.20 |  |
| Carbon Steel | 0.00 |  |
| Chrome/Moly | 0.45 |  |
| Stainless Steel | 0.50 |  |

## HEAT EXCHANGERS



## SHORT CUT for DISTILLATION COLUMS SIZING

Fenske's equation applies to any two components Ik and hk at infinite reflux and is defined by $\mathrm{N}_{\text {min }}$, where $\alpha \mathrm{ij}$ is the geometric mean of the $\alpha$ 's at the T of the feed, distillate and the bottoms.
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{m i n}}$ is given by Underwood with two equations that must be solved, where q is the liquid fraction in the feed..

$$
1-q=\sum \frac{\alpha_{i} x_{F i}}{\alpha_{i}-\phi} \quad R_{m i n}+1=\sum \frac{\alpha_{i} x_{D i}}{\alpha_{i}-\phi}
$$

Gilliland used an empirical correlation to calculate the final number of stage N from the values calculated through the Fenske and Underwood equations ( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\text {min }}$ ). The procedure use a diagram; one enters with the abscissa value known, and read the ordinate of the corresponding point on the Gilliland curve. The only unknown of the ordinate is the number of stage N .


## SHORT CUT for DISTILLATION COLUMS SIZING

Simple and direct correlation for (nearly) ideal systems (Westerberg, 1978)

- Determine $\alpha_{1 \mathrm{khk}} ; \beta_{\mathrm{lk}}=\xi_{\mathrm{kk}} ; \beta_{\mathrm{hk}}=1-\xi_{\mathrm{hk}}$
- Calculate tray number Ni and reflux ratio Ri from correlations ( $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{lk}, \mathrm{hk}$ ):
$\mathbf{N i}=12.3 /\left(\alpha_{1 k h k}-1\right)^{2 / 3} \cdot\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)^{1 / 6} \quad \mathbf{R i}=1.38 /\left(\alpha_{1 k h k}-1\right)^{0.9} \cdot\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)^{0.1}$
- Theoretical $n=$ of trays $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.8 \mathrm{max}[\mathrm{Ni}]+0.2 \mathrm{~min}[\mathrm{Ni}] ; \mathbf{R}=0.8 \mathrm{max}[\mathrm{Ri}]+0.2 \mathrm{~min}[\mathrm{Ri}]$
- Actual $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$ of trays $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}} / 0.8$
- For H consider 0.6 m spacing ( $\mathrm{H}=0.6 \mathrm{~N}$ ); Maximum $\mathrm{H}=60 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow$ else, 2 columns
* Calculate column diameter, D, by internal flowrates and taking into account the vapor fraction of F . Internal flowrates used to sizing condenser, reboiler
Design column at $80 \%$ of linear flooding velocity

$$
U_{f}=C_{s b}\left[\frac{\rho_{L}-\rho_{G}}{\rho_{G}}\right]^{0.5}\left(\frac{20}{\sigma}\right)^{0.2}
$$

$$
A=\frac{\pi D^{2}}{4}=\left[\frac{\bar{V}}{0.8 U_{f} \varepsilon \rho_{G}}\right] \quad \text { If } \mathrm{D}>3 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow \text { Parallel columns }
$$

* Calculate heat duties for reboiler and condenser

$$
Q_{\text {ouad }}=H_{\nu}-H_{L}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{D}^{k}+\mu_{i}^{k}\right) \Delta H_{v p}^{k}=\frac{V}{D} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{u k} \Delta H_{v p}^{k} \quad Q_{r e b}=V \Delta H_{v a p}^{k}
$$

* Costing vessel and stack trays (24" spacing)

| Guthrie MPF for Tray Stacks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPF: Fm + Fs + Ft |  |  |
| Tray Type | Ft |  |
| Grid | 0.0 |  |
| Plate | 0.0 |  |
| Sieve | 0.0 |  |
| Valve o trough | 0.4 |  |
| Bubble Cap | 1.8 |  |
| Koch Kascade | 3.9 |  |
| Tray Spacing, Fs |  |  |
| (inch) 24" | 18" | 12" |
| Fs 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| Tray Material, Fm |  |  |
| Carbon Steel | 0.0 |  |
| Stainless Steel | 1.7 |  |
| Monel | 8.9 |  |



FIGURE 4.4 Flooding limits for bubble cap and perforated trays. $L^{\prime} / V^{\prime \prime}$ is the liquid/gas mass ratio at the point of consideration. (Data taken from Fair, 1961.)

## DISTILLATION COLUMNS



## SHORT CUT for ABSORBERS COLUMS SIZING

Sizing similar to the distillation columns
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow$ Kremser equation

$$
N=\ln \left[\frac{l_{0}^{n}+\left(r^{n}-A_{\varepsilon}^{n}\right) v_{N+1}^{n}}{l_{0}^{n}-A_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-r^{n}\right) v_{N+1}^{n}}\right] / \ln \left(A_{\varepsilon}^{n}\right)
$$

- Assumption: v-l equilibrium $\rightarrow$ but actually there is mass transfer phenomena (e.g. simulation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ - MEA absorption) $\rightarrow 20 \%$ efficiency in n o trays $\rightarrow \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathbf{0} .2$
- Calculate H and D for costing vessel and stack trays (24" spacing)



## SHORT CUT for COMPRESSORS (or TURBINES) SIZING

Compressor


Turbine


Centrifugal compressors are the most common compressors (High capacities, low compression ratios $-r$-) vs. Reciprocating compressors (Low capacities, high r) Assumptions: Ideal behavior, isentropic and adiabatic

## Drivers

1) Electric motors driving compressor; $\eta_{M}=0.9 ; \eta_{C}=0.8$ (compressor)

Brake horsepower $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{W} / \eta_{\mathrm{M}} \eta_{\mathrm{C}}=1.39 \mathrm{~W}$
2) Turbine diving compressor (e.g.IGCC where need decrease $P$ ); $\eta_{T}=0.8 ; W_{b}=1.562 \mathrm{~W}$ Max. Horsepower compressor $=10.000 \mathrm{hp}=7.5 \mathrm{MW}$
Max Compression ratio $r=P_{2} / P_{1}<5$.
Staged compressors $\rightarrow$ to decrease work using intercoolers in N stages


Work is minimised when compression ratios are the same $P_{1} / P_{0}=P_{2} / P_{1}=\ldots=P_{N} / P_{N-1}=\left(P_{N} / P_{0}\right)^{1 / N}$ Rule of thumb $\rightarrow\left(P_{N} / P_{0}\right)^{1 / N}=2.5 \rightarrow N$

$$
W=\mu N R T_{0}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\right)\left[\left(\frac{P_{N}}{P_{0}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{N \gamma}}-1\right]_{\mathbf{2 1}}
$$

## STEAM TURBINE

SH-25 GAS TURBINE


## COMPRESSORS



## SHORT CUT for PUMPS SIZING



Centrifugal pumps selection guide.
(*)single-stage > 1750 rpm, multi-stage 1750 rpm (Sinnot, R, Towler, G., 2009)

| Normal operating range of pumps |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type | Capacity <br> Range <br> $\left(\mathbf{m}^{3} / \mathbf{h}\right)$ | Typical Head <br> (m of water) |
| Centrifugal | $0.25-10^{3}$ | $10-503000$ <br> (multistage) |
| Reciprocating | $0.5-500$ | $50-200$ |
| Diaphragm | $0.05-500$ | $5-60$ |
| Rotary gear <br> and similar | $0.05-500$ | $60-200$ |
| Rotary sliding <br> vane or similar | $0.25-500$ | $7-70$ |



Selection of positive displacement pumps (Sinnot, R, Towler, G., 2009)

Centrifugal pumps the most common. Assumptions: Isothermal conditions
Brake horsepower: $\quad W_{b}=\mu \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{2}-\boldsymbol{P}_{1}\right)}{\rho \eta_{P} \eta_{M}}$
Pump: $\eta_{P}=0.5$ (less than $\eta_{C}=0.8$ because frictional problems in $L$ ); Motor: $\eta_{M}=0.9$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}} \ll \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{c}} \rightarrow €_{\mathrm{b}} \ll €_{\mathrm{c}}$ in 2 orders of magnitude $\rightarrow$ Change P in pumps during heat integration in distillation columns is not much money

Use electrical motors not turbine as drivers in pumps

## PUMPS



## SPECIFICATIONS

Pump Type: Centrifugal Flow / P Specifications Liquid Flow: 170.000 GPM Discharge P: 43.0 psi Inlet Size: 2.000 inch Discharge Size: 1.500 inch Media Temperature; 250 F Power Specifications
Power Source AC; 100/200Single Market Segment: General use; Paper Industry

Pump Type: Centrifugal Flow / P Specifications Liquid Flow:1541.003 GPM Discharge P: 507.6 psi Media Temperature: 662 F Power Specifications: Power Source DC Market Segment: General use; Petrochemical Hydrocarbon; Chemical Industry.


Pump Type: Centrifugal
Flow / P Specifications Liquid Flow 15400.000 GPM Discharge P: 212.0 psi Inlet Size 16.000 inch Discharge Size 16.000 inch Media T: 572 F

## Power Specifications:

Power Source AC; Electric Motor
Market Segment General use; Mining; Chemical Industry

Guthrie Material and Pressure Factors for Centrifugal Pumps and Drivers, Compressors and Mechanical Refrigeration.


## SHORT CUT for REFRIGERATION SIZING

## Short cut model (one cycle/one stage)

1 cycle for process stream T not too low Coefficient of performance (CP)


$\mathrm{CP}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{W}$, typically $\mathrm{CP} \approx 4 \rightarrow$ Compressor $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Q} / 4$
For $\mathrm{h}=0.9$; hcomp=0.8 $\rightarrow \mathrm{Wb}=\mathrm{W} / 0.72$; Cooling duty $\mathrm{Qc}=\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{Q}=5 / 4 \mathrm{Q}$

## Short cut model (multiple stages)

Multiple stages for low T process stream
Refrigerant $R$ must satisfy
a) $\mathrm{T}_{\text {cond }}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{R}} \max \mathrm{T}_{\text {cond }}=0.9 \mathrm{Tc}$ (critcal component)
b) $T_{\text {evap }}>T_{\text {boil, }} \rightarrow P_{\text {evap }}=P_{R}{ }^{0}>1$ atm. (To prevent decreasing $\eta$ due to air in the system)
c) $\mathrm{T}_{\text {evap }}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {cond }}$ must be feasible for heat exchange; $\Delta \mathrm{T} \approx 5 \mathrm{~K}$

More steps $\rightarrow$ Less energy vs. More capital investment (compressors) $\rightarrow$ Trade-off Rule of Thumb: One cycle for 30 K below ambient $\rightarrow$ № cycles $=\mathbf{N}=\left(\mathbf{3 0 0}-\mathrm{T}_{\text {cold }}\right) / \mathbf{3 0}$

$$
W=Q\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{C P}\right)^{N}-1\right] ; \quad Q_{c}=\left[1+\frac{1}{C P}\right]^{N} Q
$$

## 3.- COST ESTIMATION OF EQUIPMENT: Base Costs for equipment units

[Tables 4.11-4.12; p. 134 (Biegler et al., 1997) $\rightarrow$ Table 22.32; p.591-595 (Seider et al., 2010)]


## 3.- COST ESTIMATION OF EQUIPMENT

Guthrie's modular method to preliminary design.
Updated Bare Module Cost = UF • BC $\cdot($ MPF + MF -1)
BC Williams Law: $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{BC}=\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{So})^{\alpha}$
Non-linear behaviour of Cost, C vs., Size, S $\rightarrow$ Economy of Scale (incremental cost decrease with larger capacities

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{BC}=\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{So})^{\alpha} \quad \log \mathrm{C}=\log (\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{So})^{\alpha}+\alpha \log \mathrm{S}
$$

Co, So. Parameters of Basic configuration Costs and Capacities
$\alpha$. Parameter $<1 \rightarrow$ economy of scale
Base Cost for Pressure Vessels: Vertical, horizontal, tray stack

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{Lo})^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{Do})^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

Base Cost for Process Equipment
C = Co (S/So) ${ }^{\alpha}$; Range of S

MF: Module Factor, affected by BC, taking into account labor, piping instruments, accessories, etc.
MF 2 : < 200.000 \$
MF 4 : 200.000-400.000 \$
MF 6 : 400.000-600.000 \$
MF 8 : 600.000-800.000 \$
MF 10: 800.000-1.000.000 \$
MPF: Materials and Pressure correction Factors $\Phi$ (Fd, Fm, Fp, Fo, Ft)
Empirical factors that modified BC and evaluate particular instances of equipment beyond a basic configuration: Uninstalled Cost $=(B C \times M P F)$

Fd: Design variation
Fm: Construction material variation
Fp: Pressure variation
Fo: Operating Limits ( $\Phi$ of $\mathbf{T}, \mathrm{P}$ )
Ft: Mechanical refrigeration factor ( $\Phi$ T evaporator)
UF: Update Factor, to account for inflation.
UF = Present Cost Index ( $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{\text {actual }}\right)$ / Base Cost Index ( $\mathrm{CI}_{\text {base }}$ )

| CI: Chemical Engineering Plant Cost Index (www.che.com) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | CI | YEAR | CI |
| $1957-59$ | 100 | 1996 | $\mathbf{3 8 2}$ |
| 1968 | 115 (Guthrie paper) | 1997 | 386.5 |
| 1970 | 126 | 1998 | $\mathbf{3 8 9 . 5}$ |
| 1983 | 316 | 2003 | 402 |
| 1993 | 359 | 2009 | 539.6 |
| 1995 | 381 | 2010 | 532.9 |

# Process Equipment Cost Estimating by Ratio and Proportion 

## Course Overview

Students of this one-hour course will be provided with two simple methods to arrive at approximate equipment costs during preliminary estimate preparation.

Learning Objective
At the conclusion of this course the student will:

- Understand the applicability of ratio and proportion estimating methods;
- Learn the technique to factor costs to correspond to varying equipment sizes and capacities;
- Learn the technique to escalate or otherwise adjust historical costs.


## Intended Audience

This course is intended for anyone involved with cost estimate generation.

## Benefits for Attendees

This course will provide new methods of estimating for some and refresher information for others. The course material can be used as a reference source for actual future situations.

The course includes a true-false test at the end.

## Introduction

This course provides the student with an understanding of the estimating technique known as The Rule of Six-tenths and when appropriate, use of this rule in combination with cost indices. The various types of estimates are discussed as prerequisite background. Equations are provided to enable the student to escalate or otherwise adjust historical equipment cost data.

## Content

## Cost Estimate Types and Accuracy

Regardless of accuracy, capital cost estimates are typically made-up of direct and indirect costs. Indirect costs consist of project services, such as overhead and profit, and engineering and administrative fees. Direct costs are construction items for the project and include property, equipment, and materials. This course deals with the equipment component of direct cost.

In order for the student to fully understand the applicability of ratio and proportion estimating, it will be helpful to list the types of estimates that exist. Cost estimates fall into the following categories and generally accepted accuracy:

```
- Order of Magnitude (OME) estimate }\pm50
- Study estimate }\pm30
- Preliminary (budget, scope) estimate }\pm20
- Definitive estimate }\pm10
- Detailed estimate }\pm5
```

As the names imply, the main difference between these types of estimates is their accuracy. The first three types serve as a cost indicator at a very early stage of the project design stage. They are developed with a minimum amount of detailed engineering and advise a client or a management group of that first look at project cost. The preparation of a preliminary estimate is done by an estimator based on his assessment of the design, past cost estimates, in-house estimating information, and previous contracts and purchase orders. It is not normal to obtain formal quotations from equipment
 manufactures in support of a preliminary estimate. Informal telephone budget quotations on identified major equipment such as vessels, filters, etc. are acceptable. However, even these types of "expedient" quotations can prove to be time restrictive to obtain sometimes. Even with the advent of sophisticated estimating software it is sometimes simply easier to manually approximate an equipment cost. That is the subject of this course.

Definitive and detailed cost estimates are full-blown exercises that are undertaken to produce a competitive bid submission or otherwise produce an accurate (plus or minus $10 \%$ or better) cost estimate, for say, a corporation's management approval for appropriation of funds. The ratio and proportion methods presented in this course would not be normally suitable for inclusion in a definitive estimate.

The equipment cost estimating methods that will be outlined in this course are suitable for use with the first three types of estimates; definitive and detail estimates require formal, firm equipment cost quotations from equipment manufacturers and suppliers.

## Ratio and proportion estimating

A ratio indicates the relationship between two (or more) things in quantity, amount, or size. Proportion implies that two (or more) items are similar, differing only in magnitude. Using these well-known mathematical tools is a simple process.

When preparing preliminary estimates, two methods for estimating the cost of equipment are the Rule of Six-tenths and the use of cost indices to adjust historic costs to current prices. Each will be discussed and a single example will be offered to demonstrate the use of both.

## The Rule of Six-tenths

Approximate costs can be obtained if the cost of a similar item of different size or capacity is known. A rule of thumb developed over the years known as the rule of six-tenths gives very satisfactory results when only an approximate cost within plus or minus $20 \%$ is required. An
 exhaustive search in conjunction with the development of this course left this author with no indication of any single individual who developed this concept. One is forced to assume that the relationship naturally evolved in the public domain after large quantities of actual cost data were analyzed retrospectively. The earliest mention of this concept was found in a reference accredited to a December 1947 Chemical Engineering magazine article by Roger Williams, Jr. entitled "Six-tenths Factor Aids in Approximating Costs".

At any rate, the following equation expresses the rule of six-tenths:

$$
C_{B}=C_{A}\left(\frac{S_{B}}{S_{A}}\right)^{0.6}
$$

Where $C_{B}=$ the approximate cost (\$) of equipment having size $S_{B}\left(\mathrm{cfm}, \mathrm{Hp}, \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$, or whatever) $C_{A}=$ is the known cost (\$) of equipment having corresponding size $S_{A}$ (same units as $S_{B}$ ), and $S_{B} / S_{A}$ is the ratio known as the size factor, dimensionless.

## The " $N$ " exponent

An analysis of the cost of individual pieces of equipment shows that the size factor's exponent will vary from 0.3 to unity, but the average is very near to 0.6 , thus the name for the rule of thumb. If a higher degree of sophistication is sought, Table 1 below can be used. It lists the value of a size exponent for various types of process equipment. The Table 1 values have been condensed from a vast, comprehensive tabulation of estimating cost data presented in the March 24, 1969 issue of Chemical Engineering magazine. This article by K.M. Guthrie is entitled "Data and Techniques for Preliminary Capital Cost Estimating". While the source for the concept and the presented exponential data is somewhat dated, i.e. 1947 and 1969 respectively, there is indication that this material is still relevant and valid.

Using Table 1 size exponents transforms the previously presented formula into,

$$
C_{B}=C_{A}\left(\frac{S_{B}}{S_{A}}\right)^{N}
$$

Where the symbols are identical to those already described and $N$ is the size exponent, dimensionless, from Table 1:

| PROCESS EQUIPMENT SIZE EXPONENT (N) - TABLE 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EQUIPMENT NAME | UNIT | SIZE EXPONENT (N) |
| Agitator, propeller | Hp | 0.50 |
| Agitator, turbine | Hp | 0.30 |
| Air compressor, single stage | cfm | 0.67 |
| Air compressor, multiple stage | cfm | 0.75 |
| Air dryer | cfm | 0.56 |
| Boiler, industrial, all sizes | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 0.50 |
| Boiler, package | $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 0.72 |
| Centrifuge, horizontal basket | dia (inches) | 1.72 |
| Centrifuge, solid bowl | dia (inches) | 1.00 |
| Conveyor, belt | feet | 0.65 |
| Conveyor, bucket | feet | 0.77 |
| Conveyor, screw | feet | 0.76 |
| Conveyor, vibrating | feet | 0.87 |
| Crystallizer, growth | ton/day | 0.65 |
| Crystallizer, forced circulation | ton/day | 0.55 |
| Crystallizer, batch | gallons | 0.70 |


| PROCESS EQUIPMENT SIZE EXPONENT (N) - TABLE 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EQUIPMENT NAME | UNIT | SIZE EXPONENT (N) |
| Dryer, drum and rotatory | sq. ft. | 0.45 |
| Dust collector, cyclone | cfm | 0.80 |
| Dust collector, cloth filter | cfm | 0.68 |
| Dust collector, predipitator | cfm | 0.75 |
| Evaporator, forced circulation | sq. ft. | 0.70 |
| Evaporator, vertical and horizontal tube | sq. ft. | 0.53 |
| Fan | Hp | 0.66 |
| Filter, plate and press | sq. ft. | 0.58 |
| Filter, pressure leaf | sq. ft. | 0.55 |
| Heat exchanger, fixed tube | sq. ft. | 0.62 |
| Heat exchanger, U-tube | sq. ft. | 0.53 |
| Mill, ball and roller | ton/hr | 0.65 |
| Mill, hammer | ton/hr | 0.85 |
| Pump, centrifugal carbon steel | Hp | 0.67 |
| Pump, centrifugal stainless steel | Hp | 0.70 |
| Tanks and vessels, pressure, carbon steel | gallons | 0.60 |
| Tanks and vessels, horizontal, carbon steel | gallons | 0.50 |
| Tanks and vessels, stainless steel | gallons | 0.68 |

## Cost Indices

The names and purpose of today's cost indices are too numerous to mention. Probably the most widely known cost index to the general public is the Consumer Price Index (CPI) generated by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. While the CPI could probably serve our needs, more specific data is available for use in engineering and technical applications.

Cost indices are useful when basing the approximated cost on other than current prices. If the known cost of a piece of equipment is based on, for instance 1998 prices, this cost must be multiplied by the ratio of the present day index to the 1998 base index in order to proportion the value to present day dollars. (Incidentally, the inverse of this operation can be performed to estimate what a given piece of equipment would have cost in some prior time). Mathematically, this looks like,

$$
C=C_{o}\left(\frac{I}{I_{O}}\right)
$$

Where $C=$ current cost, dollars
$C_{O}=$ base cost, dollars
$I=$ current index, dimensionless
$I_{O}=$ base index, dimensionless

Many sources exist for technical indices but two of the more popular ones which are readily available are those published monthly in Chemical Engineering magazine under "Economic Indicators, Marshall and Swift Equipment Cost Index" and weekly in Engineering News Record magazine under "Market Trends". Both work equally well but as with other indices, they cannot be used interchangeably. Incidentally, current Engineering New Record cost information is accessible on the Internet at www.enr.com. Click on the ECONOMICS file tab and scroll down to "Current Cost Indices". Unfortunately no cost index information is offered at the Chemical Engineering magazine website.

| MARSHALL \& SWIFT EQUIPMENT COST INDEX |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $(1926=100)$ | $4^{\text {th }} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }} \mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{Q}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| M \& S INDEX | 1353.8 | 1333.4 | 1274.8 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Annual Index |  |  |
| $2001=1093.9$ | $2003=1123.6$ | $2005=1244.5$ |  |
| $2002=1104.2$ | $2004=1178.5$ | $2006=1302.3$ |  |

## Example Tabulation of Magazine Cost Index Data

Source: The 2006 Marshall \& Swift Equipment Cost Index figures are reprinted and published with the permission of Marshall \& Swift/Boeckh, LLC and its licensors, © 2006. May not be reprinted, copied, automated or used for valuation without Marshall \& Swift/Boeckh's prior written permission.

## Let us take an illustrative example:

The following example illustrates a combined use of both of these ratio and proportion methods to produce an approximate cost. Please note that the costs presented here are purely hypothetical and should not be used as a basis for anything other than an illustration.

Let us assume that a rough estimate is being prepared for a project in which a 5,000-gallon capacity stainless steel pressure vessel is involved. Let us further assume that our past project purchasing data shows that a 2,000 -gallon stainless steel pressure vessel, very similar to that currently required, was purchased in 2001 for $\$ 15,000$.

We now have all of the necessary components to approximate the present day $\operatorname{cost}\left(C_{B}\right)$ of a $5,000-$ gallon vessel. We have, two dates, past and of course current; two known capacities ( $S_{B}$ and $S_{A}$ );
 and one historical cost ( $C_{O}$ ) (that of the 2001 purchased vessel).

The first step is to determine the cost index for our two dates. Consulting a recent issue of Chemical Engineering magazine, the M \& S Equipment Cost Index for 2001 is found to be 1093.9 (our base index for this example). In like fashion, the $20064^{\text {th }}$ Quarter index is found to be 1353.8 (the current index). The student may be interested to know that the M \& S Cost Index base is $1926=100$; this provides an astonishing indication of the amount of inflation that has taken place.

This complied data allows us to substitute,

$$
C=C_{O}\left(\frac{I}{I_{O}}\right)=(\$ 15,000)\left(\frac{1353.8}{1093.9}\right)=\$ 18,565
$$

Therefore, the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter 2006 cost of the 2,000-gallon capacity vessel is estimated to be $\$ 18,565$.
Now, having determined the current estimated cost of the smaller capacity vessel, we need to adjust this amount to correspond to the larger volume (5,000 gallons). Referring to Table 1, we find a size exponent corresponding to stainless steel vessels equal to 0.68 . Substituting in the equation presented earlier results in,

$$
C_{B}=C_{A}\left(\frac{S_{B}}{S_{A}}\right)^{N}=(\$ 18,565)\left(\frac{5,000}{2,000}\right)^{0.68}=\$ 34,617
$$

## Contents

Abstract ..... 1
Background ..... 1
Results and Usage ..... 2
Assessment ..... 3
Conclusions/Recommendations ..... 3
Cost Curves ..... 5-39
Vertical Vessel ..... 5
Horizontal Vessel ..... 6
Storage Tanks ..... 7
Valve Tray Column - 15 psig ..... 8
Valve Tray Column - 150 psig ..... 9
Sieve Tray Column - 15 psig ..... 10
Sieve Tray Column - 150 psig ..... 11
Packed Column - 15 psig ..... 12
Packed Column - 150 psig ..... 13
Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger ..... 15
Air Cooler ..... 16
Spiral Plate Heat Exchanger ..... 17
Furnace. ..... 18
Cooling Tower ..... 19
Package Steam Boiler ..... 20
Evaporators ..... 21
Crushers ..... 22
Mills ..... 23
Dryers ..... 24
Centrifuges ..... 25
Filters ..... 26
Agitator ..... 27
Rotary Pump ..... 28
Inline Pump ..... 29
Centrifugal Pump ..... 30
Reciprocating Pump ..... 31
Vacuum Pump ..... 32
Reciprocating Compressor ..... 33
Centrifugal Compressor ..... 34
Centrifugal Fan ..... 35
Rotary Blower ..... 36
Gas Turbine ..... 37
Steam Turbine - under 1000 Horsepower ..... 38
Steam Turbine - over 1000 Horsepower ..... 39
Cost Indexes ..... 46
Appendix A ..... 51
Appendix B ..... 52

## List of Tables

Table 1 Packing Costs ..... 15
Table 2 Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Solids Handling Processes ..... 40
Table 3 Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Solids - Gas Processes ..... 41
Table 4 Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Liquid and Slurry Systems ..... 42
Table 5 Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Gas Processes ..... 43
Table 6 Distributive Labor Factors for Setting Equipment ..... 44
Table 7 Factors for Converting Carbon Steel to Equivalent Alloy Costs ..... 45
Table 8 Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index ..... 47
Table 9 Marshall and Swift Installed-Equipment Index ..... 48
Table 10 Nelson-Farrar Refinery Construction Index ..... 49
Table 11 Chemical Engineering Plant Cost Index ..... 50

## Cost Curves

## Vertical Vessel

Description: The vertical process vessel is erected in the vertical position. They are cylindrical in shape with each end capped by a domed cover called a head. The length to diameter ratio of a vertical vessel is typically 3 to 1 . Vertical tanks include: process, storage applications liquid, gas, solid processing and storage; pressure/vacuum code design for process and certain storage vessel types; includes heads, single wall, saddles, lugs, nozzles, manholes, legs or skirt, base ring, davits where applicable.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: 15 psig and 150 psig
Diameter: $\quad 2.5-8$ feet
Length:
2.7 - 13.3 feet

Total Weight: $\quad 1,000-7,100$ pounds


## Horizontal Vessel

Description: The horizontal vessel is a pressure vessel fabricated according to the rules of the specified code and erected in the horizontal position. Although the horizontal vessel may be supported by lugs in an open steel structure, the more usual arrangement is for the vessel to be erected at grade and supported by a pair of saddles. Cylindrical, pressure/vacuum, code design and construction, includes head, single wall (base material, clad/lined), saddles/lugs, nozzles and manholes.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 15 \mathrm{psig}$
Diameter: $2-14$ feet
Length:
4.3-81 feet

Total Weight: $\quad 1100-59,400$ pounds


## Storage Tanks

## Description:

Floating Roof: Typically constructed from polyurethane foam blocks or nylon cloth impregnated with rubber or plastic, floating roofs are designed to completely contact the surface of the storage products and thereby eliminate the vapor space between the product level and the fixed roof. Floating roof tanks are suitable for storage of products having vapor pressure from 2 to 15 psia .
Cone Roof: Typically field fabricated out of carbon steel. They are used for storage of low vapor pressure (less than 2 psia ) products, typically ranging from 50,000 - 1,000,000 gallons.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: 15 psig
Diameter:
Length:
Total Weight:
$1100-59,400$ pounds


## Valve Tray Column - 15 psig

Description: Pressure/vacuum column includes vessel shell, heads, single base material (lined or clad, nozzles, manholes (one manhole below and above tray stack or packed section and one manhole every tenth tray or 25 feet of packed height), jacket and nozzles for heating or cooling medium, base ring, lugs, skirt or legs; tray clips, tray supports (if designated), distributor piping, plates.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 15 \mathrm{psig}$
Height: 17-133 feet
Application: Distillation
Tray Type: Valve
Tray Spacing: 24 Inches
Tray Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Tray Thickness: 0.19 Inches


## Valve Tray Column - 150 psig

Description: Pressure/vacuum column includes vessel shell, heads, single base material (lined or clad, nozzles, manholes (one manhole below and above tray stack or packed section and one manhole every tenth tray or 25 feet of packed height), jacket and nozzles for heating or cooling medium, base ring, lugs, skirt or legs; tray clips, tray supports (if designated), distributor piping, plates.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$
Height: 17-133 feet
Application: Distillation
Tray Type: Valve
Tray Spacing: 24 Inches
Tray Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Tray Thickness: 0.19 Inches


## Sieve Tray Column - 15 psig

Description: Pressure/vacuum column includes vessel shell, heads, single base material (lined or clad, nozzles, manholes (one manhole below and above tray stack or packed section and one manhole every tenth tray or 25 feet of packed height), jacket and nozzles for heating or cooling medium, base ring, lugs, skirt or legs; tray clips, tray supports (if designated), distributor piping, plates.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 15 \mathrm{psig}$
Height: 17-133 feet
Application: Distillation
Tray Type:
Sieve
Tray Spacing: 24 Inches
Tray Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Tray Thickness: 0.19 Inches


## Sieve Tray Column - 150 psig

Description: Pressure/vacuum column includes vessel shell, heads, single base material (lined or clad, nozzles, manholes (one manhole below and above tray stack or packed section and one manhole every tenth tray or 25 feet of packed height), jacket and nozzles for heating or cooling medium, base ring, lugs, skirt or legs; tray clips, tray supports (if designated), distributor piping, plates.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$
Height: 17-133 feet
Application: Distillation
Tray Type: Sieve
Tray Spacing: 24 Inches
Tray Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Tray Thickness: 0.19 Inches

## Single Diameter Sieve Tray Column 150 psig Purchased Equipment Cost



## Packed Column - 15 psig

Description: Pressure/vacuum column includes vessel shell, heads, single base material (lined or clad, nozzles, manholes (one manhole below and above tray stack or packed section and one manhole every tenth tray or 25 feet of packed height), jacket and nozzles for heating or cooling medium, base ring, lugs, skirt or legs; tray clips, tray supports (if designated), distributor piping, plates, packing not included (see Table 1).

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 15 \mathrm{psig}$
Application: Absorption


## Packed Column - 150 psig

Description: Pressure/vacuum column includes vessel shell, heads, single base material (lined or clad, nozzles, manholes (one manhole below and above tray stack or packed section and one manhole every tenth tray or 25 feet of packed height), jacket and nozzles for heating or cooling medium, base ring, lugs, skirt or legs; tray clips, tray supports (if designated), distributor piping, plates, packing not included (see Table 1).

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Shell Material: A515
(Carbon Steel Plates for pressure vessels for intermediate and higher temperature service)
Design Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$
Application: Absorption


## Table 1

Packing Costs
Uninstalled cost, dollar per cubic feet
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars

| Diameter (Inches) | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pall Rings |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polypropylene | 33 | 29 | 21 | 8 | - |
| Stainless Steel | 130 | 118 | 92 | 76 | - |
| INTALOX Saddles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceramic | 31 | 28 | 23 | 21 | - |
| Porcelain | 32 | 29 | 24 | 21 | - |
| Raschig Rings |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceramic | 119 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Porcelain | - | 17 | 15 | 12 | 11 |
| Stainless Steel | - | 111 | 94 | 59 | 54 |
| Carbon Steel | - | 37 | 31 | 20 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activated Carbon | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 13X Molecular Sieve | 61 |  |  |  |  |
| Silica Gel | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Calcium Chloride | 11 |  |  |  |  |

## Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger

Description: Shell and tube heat exchanger consists of a bundle of tubes held in a cylindrical shape by plates at either end called tube sheets. The tube bundle placed inside a cylindrical shell. The size of the exchanger is defined as the total outside surface area of the tube bundle. Maximum shell size is 48 Inches.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Type: $\quad$ Floating Head (BES)/ Fixed Head (BEM)
Shell Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Shell Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Shell Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$
Tube Material: A214
(Electric-resistance-welded carbon steel heat exchanger and condenser tubes)
Tube Temperature: $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Tube Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$
Tube Length: $10-20$ Feet
Tube Diameter: 1 Inch


## Air Cooler

Description: Variety of plenum chambers, louver arrangements, fin types (or bare tubes), sizes, materials, free-standing or rack mounted, multiple bays and multiple services within a single bay.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Tube Material: A214
(Electric-resistance-welded carbon steel heat exchanger and condenser tubes)
Tube Length: $6-60$ Feet
Number of Bays: 1-3
Power/ Fan: $2-25$ Horsepower
Bay Width: $4-12$ Feet
Design Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$
Inlet Temperature: $300^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Tube Diameter: 1 Inch
Plenum Type: Transition shaped
Louver Type: $\quad$ Face louvers only
Fin Type: L-footed tension wound Aluminum


## Spiral Plate Heat Exchanger

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
(High Alloy Steel - Chromium-Nickel stainless steel plate, sheet and strip for fusion-welded unfired pressure vessels)
Tube Pressure: $\quad 150 \mathrm{psig}$


## Furnace

Description: Gas or Oil fired vertical cylindrical type for low heat duty range moderate temperature with long contact time. Walls of the furnace are refractory lined.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Tube Material: A214
(Electric-resistance-welded carbon steel heat exchanger and condenser tubes)
Design Pressure: $\quad 500 \mathrm{psig}$
Design Temperature: $750^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$


## Cooling Tower

Description: Factory Assembled cooling tower includes fans, drivers and basins

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Temperature Range: $\quad 15^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Approach Gradient: $\quad 10^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Wet Bulb Temperature: $\quad 75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$


## Package Steam Boiler

Description: Package boiler unit includes forced draft fans, instruments, controls, burners, soot-blowers, feedwater deaerator, chemical injections system, steam drum, mud drum and stack. Shop assembled.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Pressure:
250 psig
Superheat:
$100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$


## Evaporators

Description: Standard vertical tube evaporator and standard horizontal tube evaporator.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Tube Material:
Carbon Steel


## Crushers

Description: All crushers include motor and drive unit.
Gyratory: Primary crushing of hard and medium hard materials.
Rotary: For course, soft materials.
Ring Granulator: For primary and secondary crushing of bituminous and subbituminous coals, lignite, gypsum and some medium hard minerals.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)


## Mills

Description: All units include mill, bearings, gears, lube system and vendor-supplied instruments. Ball mill includes initial ball charge.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)


## Dryers

## Description:

Atmospheric tray batch dryer includes solid materials.
Rotary and Drum dryers include motor and drive unit.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)


## Centrifuges

Description: Centrifuges include motor and drive unit.
Reciprocating Conveyor with continuous filtering centrifuge for free-draining granular solids, horizontal bowl, removal by reciprocating piston.
Continuous Filtration Vibratory Centrifuge with solids removal by vibratory screen for dewatering of course solids.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)


## Filters

## Description:

Cartridge Filter consists of a tank containing one or more disposable cartridges.
Contains 5-micron cotton filter.
Drum Filter is a vacuum type, multi compartment cylinder shell with internal filtrate piping with polypropylene filter cloth, feed box with inlet and drain nozzles, suction valve, discharge trough, driver consisting of rotor, drive motor base plate, worm, gear reducer and two pillow block bearing with supports.

Defaults for Drum Filter medium filtration rate,
0.5 tons per day/ square feet solids handling rate,
$20 \%$ consistency (percent of solids in feed stream).
Tubular Fabric Filters are a bank of three without automatic cleaning option. Plate and Frame Filter default material is rubber-lined carbon steel.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)


## Agitator

Description: Fixed propeller mixer with motor and gear drive. Includes motor, gear drive, shaft and impeller.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: A285C
(Low and intermediate strength carbon steel plates for pressure vessels.)
Speed:
1800 RPM


## Rotary Pump

Description: Rotary (sliding vanes) pump includes motor driver.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
Cast Iron
Temperature:
$68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Power:
25-20 Horsepower
Speed:
1800 RPM
Liquid Specific Gravity:1
Efficiency: 82\%


## Inline Pump

Description: General service in-line pump includes pump and motor driver.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
Carbon Steel
Temperature: $\quad 120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Speed: $\quad 1800$ RPM
Liquid Specific Gravity:1
Efficiency: $\quad<50 \mathrm{GPM}=60 \%$
$50-199 \mathrm{GPM}=65 \%$
$100-500 \mathrm{GPM}=75 \%$
$>500 \mathrm{GPM}=82 \%$
Driver Type:
Standard motor
Seal Type:
Single mechanical seal


## Centrifugal Pump

Description: Single and multistage centrifugal pumps for process or general service when flow/head conditions exceed general service. Split casing not a cartridge or barrel. Includes standard motor driver.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel
Design Temperature: $120^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Design Pressure: 150 psig
Liquid Specific Gravity:1
Efficiency:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <50 \mathrm{GPM}=60 \% \\
& 50-199 \mathrm{GPM}=65 \% \\
& 100-500 \mathrm{GPM}=75 \% \\
& >500 \mathrm{GPM}=82 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Driver Type
Seal Type:

Standard motor
Single mechanical seal


## Reciprocating Pump

Description: Reciprocating duplex with steam driver. Triplex (plunger) with pumpmotor driver.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel
Design Temperature: $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Liquid Specific Gravity: 1
Efficiency: 82\%


## Vacuum Pump

Description: Mechanical oil-sealed vacuum pump includes pump, motor and drive unit.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars

Material:
First Stage:
Second Stage:

Carbon Steel
0.01 MM HG (Mercury)
0.0003 MM HG (Mercury)

## Vacuum Pump <br> Purchased Equipment Cost



## Reciprocating Compressor

Description: Reciprocating compressor with gear reducer, couplings, guards, base plate, compressor unit, fittings, interconnecting piping, vendor-supplied instruments, lube/seal system. Does not include intercoolers or aftercoolers and interstage knock-out drums.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel
Inlet Temperature: $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Inlet Pressures:
14.7/ 14.7/ 165 psia

Pressure Ratios: $\quad 4: 1 / 30: 1 / 30: 1$
Molecular Weight: 30
Specific Heat Ratio: 1.22


## Centrifugal Compressor

Description: Axial (inline) centrifugal gas compressor with motor driver. Excludes intercoolers and knock-out drums.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel
Inlet Temperature: $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Inlet Pressures: $\quad$ 14.7/ 14.7/ 190 psia
Pressure Ratios: $\quad 3: 1 / 10: 1 / 10: 1$
Molecular Weight: 29
Specific Heat Ratio: 1.4


## Centrifugal Fan

Description: Centrifugal fans move gas through a low pressure drop system. Maximum pressure rise is about 2 PSI.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel
Power:
Speed:
Exit Pressure:
1.5-300 Horsepower 1800 RPM
6 In H2O


## Rotary Blower

Description: This general-purpose blower includes inlet and discharge silencers. The casing of the rotary blower is cast iron and the impellers are ductile iron.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material
Power:
Speed:
5-200 Horsepower
1800 RPM
Exit Pressure:
8 psig


## Gas Turbine

Description: Gas turbine includes fuel gas combustion chamber and multi-stage turbine expander.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel


## Steam Turbine - under 1000 Horsepower

Description: Steam turbine driver includes condenser and accessories.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material: Carbon Steel
Steam Pressure: 400 psig
Speed: 3600 RPM


## Steam Turbine - over 1000 Horsepower

Description: Steam turbine driver includes condenser and accessories.

## Design Basis:

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 Dollars
Material:
Carbon Steel
Steam Pressure: $\quad 400 \mathrm{psig}$
Speed: 3600 RPM


## Table 2

Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Solids Handling Processes

| Temperature |  | $\leq \mathbf{4 0 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{F}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | $>\mathbf{4 0 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{F}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foundations | Material | 4 | 5 |
| Structural Steel | Labor | 133 | 133 |
|  | Material | 4 | 2 |
|  | Labor | 50 | 100 |
|  | Material | 2 | 2 |
| Insulation | Labor | 100 | 100 |
|  | Material | --- | 1.5 |
| Instruments | Labor | -- | 150 |
|  | Material | 6 | 6 |
| Electrical | Labor | 10 | 40 |
|  | Material | 9 | 9 |
| Piping | Labor | 75 | 75 |
|  | Material | 5 | 5 |
| Painting | Labor | 50 | 50 |
|  | Material | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Miscellaneous | Labor | 300 | 300 |
|  | Material | 3 | 4 |
|  | Labor | 80 | 80 |

## Table 3

Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Solids - Gas Processes

| Temperature Pressure |  | $\leq 400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  | $>400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \leq 150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \leq 150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { > } 150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Foundations | Material | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|  | Labor | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 |
| Structural Steel | Material | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  | Labor | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 |
| Buildings | Material | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
|  | Labor | 100 | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| Insulation | Material | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Labor | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Instruments | Material | 2 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
|  | Labor | 40 | 40 | 40 | 75 |
| Electrical | Material | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
|  | Labor | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Piping | Material | 35 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|  | Labor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Painting | Material | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Labor | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Miscellaneous | Material | 3.5 | 4 | 4 | 4.5 |
|  | Labor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |

## Table 4

Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Liquid and Slurry Systems

| Pressure | $\mathbf{1 5 0} \mathbf{~ p s i g}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | $\mathbf{c} \mathbf{1 5 0} \mathbf{~ p s i g}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foundations | Material | 5 | 6 |
| Structural Steel | Labor | 133 | 133 |
|  | Material | 4 | 5 |
|  | Labor | 50 | 50 |
|  | Material | 3 | 3 |
| Insulation | Labor | 100 | 100 |
|  | Material | 1 | 3 |
| Instruments | Labor | 150 | 150 |
|  | Material | 6 | 7 |
| Electrical | Labor | 40 | 40 |
|  | Material | 8 | 9 |
| Piping | Labor | 75 | 75 |
|  | Material | 30 | 35 |
| Painting | Labor | 50 | 50 |
|  | Material | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Miscellaneous | Labor | 300 | 300 |
|  | Material | 4 | 5 |
|  | Labor | 80 | 80 |

## Table 5

Distributive Factors for Bulk Materials - Gas Processes

| Temperature Pressure |  | $\leq 400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  | $>400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \leq 150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | $>150 \text { psig }$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \leq 150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >150 \mathrm{psig} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Foundations | Material | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
|  | Labor | 133 | 133 | 133 | 133 |
| Structural Steel | Material | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
|  | Labor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Buildings | Material | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Labor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Insulation | Material | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Labor | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Instruments | Material | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  | Labor | 40 | 40 | 75 | 40 |
| Electrical | Material | 8 | 9 | 6 | 9 |
|  | Labor | 75 | 75 | 40 | 75 |
| Piping | Material | 45 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|  | Labor | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Painting | Material | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
|  | Labor | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Miscellaneous | Material | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
|  | Labor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |

## Table 6

Distributive Labor Factors for Setting Equipment

| Equipment Type | Factor <br> $(\%)$ | Equipment Type | Factor <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Absorber | 20 | Hammermill | 25 |
| Ammonia Still | 20 | Heater | 20 |
| Ball Mill | 30 | Heat Exchanger | 20 |
| Briquetting machine | 25 | Lime Leg | 15 |
| Centrifuge | 20 | Methanator (catalytic) | 30 |
| Clarifier | 15 | Mixer | 20 |
| Coke Cutter | 15 | Precipitator | 25 |
| Coke Drum | 15 | Regenerator (packed) | 20 |
| Condenser | 20 | Retort | 30 |
| Conditioner | 20 | Rotoclone | 25 |
| Cooler | 20 | Screen | 20 |
| Crusher | 30 | Scrubber (water) | 15 |
| Cyclone | 20 | Settler | 15 |
| Decanter | 15 | Shift converter | 25 |
| Distillation column | 30 | Splitter | 15 |
| Evaporator | 20 | Storage Tank | 20 |
| Filter | 15 | Stripper | 20 |
| Fractionator | 25 | Tank | 20 |
| Furnace | 30 | Vaporizer | 20 |
| Gasifier | 30 |  |  |

## Table 7

Factors for Converting Carbon Steel to Equivalent Alloy Costs

| Material | Pumps, etc. | Other Equipment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Carbon Steel | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Stainless Steel, Type 410 | 1.43 | 2.00 |  |  |  |
| Stainless Steel, Type 304 | 1.70 | 2.80 |  |  |  |
| Stainless Steel, Type 316 | 1.80 | 2.90 |  |  |  |
| Stainless Steel, Type 310 | 2.00 | 3.33 |  |  |  |
| Rubber-lined Steel | 1.43 | 1.25 |  |  |  |
| Bronze | 1.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Monel | 3.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Material |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heat Exchangers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon Steel Shell and Tubes | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon Steel Shell, Aluminum Tubes |  | 1.25 |  |  |  |
| Carbon Steel Shell, Monel Tubes | 2.08 |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon Steel Shell, 304 Stainless Steel Tubes | 1.67 |  |  |  |  |
| 304 Stainless Steel Shell and Tubes | 2.86 |  |  |  |  |

## Cost Indexes

Cost indexes are used to update costs from the base time, in this case First Quarter 1998 dollars, to the present time of the estimate. Cost indexes are used to give a general estimate, but can not take into account all factors. Some limitations of cost indexes include: ${ }^{3}$

1. Accuracy is very limited. Two Indexes may yield much different answers.
2. Cost indexes are based on averages. Specific cases may be much different from the average.
3. At best, $10 \%$ accuracy can be expected for periods up to 5 years.
4. For periods over 10 years, indexes are suitable only for order of magnitude estimates.

The most common indexes are Engineering News-Record Construction Cost Index, Table 8, (published in the Engineering News-Record), Marshall and Swift Equipment Cost Indexes, Table 9, (published in Chemical Engineering), Nelson-Farrar Refinery Construction Cost Index, Table 10, (published in the Oil and Gas Journal) and the Chemical Engineering Plant Cost Index, Table 11, (published in Chemical Engineering). Annual averages for each of these indexes are included in this report.

The Marshall and Swift Equipment Cost Indexes are divided into two categories, the allindustry equipment index and the process-industry equipment index. The indexes take into consideration the cost of machinery and major equipment plus costs for installation, fixtures, tools, office furniture, and other minor equipment. The Engineering NewsRecord Construction Cost Index shows the variation in the labor rates and materials costs for industrial construction. The Nelson-Farrar Refinery Construction Cost Index uses construction costs in the petroleum industry as the basis. The Chemical Engineering Plant Cost Index uses construction costs for chemical plants as the basis.

Two cost indexes, the Marshall and Swift equipment cost indexes and the Chemical Engineering plant cost indexes, give very similar results and are recommended for use with process-equipment estimates and chemical-plant investment estimates. The Engineering News-Record construction cost index, relative with time, has increased much more rapidly than the other two because it does not include a productivity improvement factor. Similarly, the Nelson-Farrar refinery construction index has shown a very large increase with time and should be used with caution and only for refinery construction. ${ }^{4}$

[^0]
## Table 8

Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index
Published in the Engineering News-Record

| Year | Annual Average |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| 1960 | 824 |
| 1965 | 971 |
| 1970 | 1381 |
| 1975 | 2212 |
| 1980 | 3237 |
| 1985 | 4195 |
| 1990 | 4732 |
| 1995 | 5471 |
| 1996 | 5620 |
| 1997 | 5825 |
| 1998 | 5920 |
| 1999 | 6060 |
| 2000 | 6222 |
| 2001 | 6281 |
| January | 6273 |
| February | March |
| April | 6280 |
| May | 6286 |

Table 9
Marshall and Swift Installed-Equipment Index
Published in Chemical Engineering

| Annual Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | All Industry | Process Industry |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| 1964 | 242 | 241 |
| 1965 | 245 | 244 |
| 1970 | 303 | 301 |
| 1975 | 444 | 452 |
| 1980 | 560 | 675 |
| 1985 | 790 | 813 |
| 1990 | 915 | 935 |
| 1995 | 1027.5 | 1037.4 |
| 1996 | 1039.2 | 1051.3 |
| 1997 | 1056.8 | 1068.3 |
| 1998 | 1061.9 | 1075.9 |
| 1st Quarter | 1061.2 | 1074.6 |
| 2nd Quarter | 1061.8 | 1075.2 |
| 3rd Quarter | 1062.4 | 1077.2 |
| 4th Quarter | 1062.3 | 1076.6 |
| 1999 | 1068.3 | 1083.1 |
| 1st Quarter | 1062.7 | 1078.8 |
| 2nd Quarter | 1065.0 | 1080.7 |
| 3rd Quarter | 1069.9 | 1084.0 |
| 4th Quarter | 1075.6 | 1088.7 |
| 2000 | 1089.0 | 1102.7 |
| 1st Quarter | 1080.6 | 1093.5 |
| 2nd Quarter | 1089.0 | 1102.2 |
| 3rd Quarter | 1092.0 | 1106.3 |
| 4th Quarter | 1094.5 | 1108.7 |
| 2001 |  |  |
| 1st Quarter | 1092.8 | 1106.9 |

Table 10
Nelson-Farrar Refinery Construction Index
Published in the Oil and Gas Journal

| Year | Annual <br> Average | Pumps, <br> Compressors, etc | Heat <br> Exchangers | Misc. <br> Equipment <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| 1964 | 252 |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 261 |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 365 |  | 618.7 | 578.1 |
| 1975 | 576 |  | 520 | 673.4 |
| 1980 | 823 | 777.3 | 755.7 | 797.5 |
| 1985 | 1074 | 969.9 | 758.6 | 879.5 |
| 1990 | 1225.7 | 1125.6 | 793.3 | 903.5 |
| 1995 | 1392.1 | 1316.7 | 773.6 | 910.5 |
| 1996 | 1418.9 | 1354.5 | 841.1 | 933.2 |
| 1997 | 1449.2 | 1383.9 | 715.8 | 920.3 |
| 1998 | 1477.6 | 1406.7 | 662.2 | 917.8 |
| 1999 | 1497.2 | 1433.5 |  |  |
| 2000 | 1542.7 | 1456.4 | 722.7 | 936.2 |
| 2001 |  |  | 722.7 | 937.1 |
| January | 1565.9 | 1473.2 |  |  |
| February | 1563.6 | 1478.9 |  |  |

## Table 11

Chemical Engineering Plant Cost Index Published in Chemical Engineering

| Year | Annual Average |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 5 7 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| 1964 | 103 |
| 1965 | 104 |
| 1970 | 126 |
| 1975 | 182 |
| 1980 | 261 |
| 1985 | 325 |
| 1990 | 357.6 |
| 1995 | 381.1 |
| 1996 | 381.8 |
| 1997 | 386.5 |
| 1998 | 389.5 |
| 1999 | 390.6 |
| 2000 | 394.1 |
| 2001 | 395.4 |

## Appendix A

The following is an example of the usage of the cost curves and tables to estimate the installed cost of a 5,000 square foot gas-gas shell and tube heat exchanger with a design temperature of $650^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and a design pressure of 150 psig .

From the chart on page 16, the estimated purchased equipment cost is $\$ 62,000$. From Table 6, the factor for setting a heat exchanger is $20 \%$. Column 3 of Table 5 is used to estimate the bulk material and labor costs.

Bare cost: $\$ 62,000$
Setting Cost: $\quad \$ 62,000 * 0.2 \quad \$ 12,400$
Bulk Installations:
Foundations

| Material | $\$ 62,000 * 0.06$ | $\$ 3,720$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Labor | $\$ 3,720 * 1.33$ | $\$ 4,948$ |

Structural Steel
Material $\quad \$ 62,000 * 0.05 \quad \$ 3,100$
Labor $\$ 3,100 * 0.5 \quad \$ 1,550$

Buildings
Material $\quad \$ 62,000^{*} 0.03 \quad \$ 1,860$
Labor $\quad \$ 1,860^{*} 1.0 \quad \$ 1,860$

Insulation
Material $\quad \$ 62,000 * 0.02 \quad \$ 1,240$
Labor $\quad \$ 1,240 * 1.5 \quad \$ 1,860$
Instruments
Material $\quad \$ 62,000 * 0.07 \quad \$ 4,340$
Labor $\quad \$ 4,340 * 0.75 \quad \$ 3,255$

Electrical
Material $\quad \$ 62,000 * 0.06 \quad \$ 3,720$
Labor \$3,720*0.4 \$1,488

Piping
Material
$\$ 62,000 * 0.4 \quad \$ 24,800$
Labor
$\$ 24,800 * 0.5$
\$12,400
Painting
Material \$62,000*0.005 \$310
Labor $\$ 310 * 3.0 \quad \$ 930$
Miscellaneous
Material $\quad \$ 62,000 * 0.04 \quad \$ 2,480$
Labor $\quad \$ 2,480 * 0.8 \quad \$ 1,984$
Total Installed Cost:
\$150,245
From ICARUS-generated results (page 59):
Purchased Equipment Cost \$62,100
Total Installed Cost \$141,800

## Appendix B

Vertical Vessels
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| 15 psig |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Diameter <br> (Feet) | Height <br> (Feet) | Capacity <br> (Gallons) | Total <br> Weight <br> (Pounds) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost (\$) | Installed <br> Cost (\$) |  |
| 2.5 | 2.7 | 100 | 1,000 | $\$ 6,400$ | $\$ 51,800$ |  |
| 3.0 | 4.7 | 250 | 1,400 | $\$ 7,400$ | $\$ 61,000$ |  |
| 4.0 | 5.3 | 500 | 2,000 | $\$ 9,800$ | $\$ 68,400$ |  |
| 4.0 | 8.0 | 750 | 2,700 | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 89,700$ |  |
| 5.0 | 6.8 | 1,000 | 3,000 | $\$ 13,000$ | $\$ 96,000$ |  |
| 6.0 | 9.5 | 2,000 | 4,200 | $\$ 16,500$ | $\$ 122,300$ |  |
| 7.0 | 10.4 | 3,000 | 5,200 | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 132,300$ |  |
| 7.0 | 13.9 | 4,000 | 6,300 | $\$ 18,600$ | $\$ 135,100$ |  |
| 8.0 | 13.3 | 5,000 | 7,100 | $\$ 21,000$ | $\$ 139,700$ |  |


| 150 psig |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Diameter <br> (Feet) | Height <br> (Feet) | Capacity <br> (Gallons) | Total <br> Weight <br> (Pounds) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost (\$) | Installed <br> Cost (\$) |  |
| 2.5 | 2.7 | 100 | 1,300 | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 48,800$ |  |
| 3.0 | 4.7 | 250 | 1,800 | $\$ 8,300$ | $\$ 52,500$ |  |
| 4.0 | 5.3 | 500 | 2,800 | $\$ 11,300$ | $\$ 60,900$ |  |
| 4.0 | 8.0 | 750 | 3,600 | $\$ 13,700$ | $\$ 76,900$ |  |
| 5.0 | 6.8 | 1,000 | 4,500 | $\$ 15,600$ | $\$ 84,800$ |  |
| 6.0 | 9.5 | 2,000 | 7,000 | $\$ 20,900$ | $\$ 100,700$ |  |
| 7.0 | 10.4 | 3,000 | 9,600 | $\$ 24,200$ | $\$ 112,800$ |  |
| 7.0 | 13.9 | 4,000 | 11,400 | $\$ 24,900$ | $\$ 115,800$ |  |
| 8.0 | 13.3 | 5,000 | 14,200 | $\$ 30,500$ | $\$ 124,000$ |  |

## Horizontal Vessels

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| 15 psig <br> (Feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Length <br> (Feet) | Capacity <br> (Gallons) | Total <br> Weight <br> (Pounds) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost (\$) | Installed <br> Cost (\$) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | 4.3 | 100 | 1,100 | $\$ 5,700$ | $\$ 51,900$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 6.8 | 250 | 1,500 | $\$ 7,400$ | $\$ 62,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | 9.5 | 500 | 2,200 | $\$ 8,900$ | $\$ 79,600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | 8.0 | 750 | 2,600 | $\$ 10,200$ | $\$ 81,600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | 10.6 | 1,000 | 3,000 | $\$ 11,200$ | $\$ 88,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 | 14.2 | 3,000 | 5,600 | $\$ 17,500$ | $\$ 24,600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | 17.4 | 5,000 | 7,600 | $\$ 21,800$ | $\$ 32,300$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 | 18.6 | 7,000 | 9,400 | $\$ 24,800$ | $\$ 144,800$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 | 21.0 | 10,000 | 11,500 | $\$ 29,500$ | $\$ 153,100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.0 | 35.2 | 25,000 | 21,500 | $\$ 40,100$ | $\$ 202,600$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.0 | 43.4 | 50,000 | 33,300 | $\$ 58,200$ | $\$ 251,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 60.7 | 75,000 | 47,000 | $\$ 76,400$ | $\$ 304,900$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 81.0 | 100,000 | 59,400 | $\$ 94,800$ | $\$ 383,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Diameter <br> (Feet) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Length <br> (Feet) | Capacity <br> (Gallons) | Total <br> Weight <br> (Pounds) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost (\$) | Installed <br> Cost (\$) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | 4.3 | 100 | 1,400 | $\$ 6,300$ | $\$ 48,900$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 6.8 | 250 | 1,800 | $\$ 8,000$ | $\$ 53,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | 9.5 | 500 | 2,500 | $\$ 9,700$ | $\$ 66,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | 8.0 | 750 | 3,500 | $\$ 12,000$ | $\$ 69,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | 10.6 | 1,000 | 4,000 | $\$ 13,100$ | $\$ 76,400$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 | 14.2 | 3,000 | 8,900 | $\$ 23,500$ | $\$ 104,800$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | 17.4 | 5,000 | 13,500 | $\$ 32,100$ | $\$ 117,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 | 18.6 | 7,000 | 18,300 | $\$ 39,900$ | $\$ 148,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 | 21.0 | 10,000 | 24,800 | $\$ 51,800$ | $\$ 163,800$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.0 | 35.2 | 25,000 | 54,100 | $\$ 90,300$ | $\$ 267,800$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.0 | 43.4 | 50,000 | 101,900 | $\$ 160,400$ | $\$ 373,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 60.7 | 75,000 | 155,000 | $\$ 230,300$ | $\$ 482,200$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 81.0 | 100,000 | 198,700 | $\$ 285,700$ | $\$ 606,700$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Storage Tanks

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Diameter <br> (Feet) | Height <br> (Feet) | Total <br> Weight <br> (Pounds) | Capacity <br> (Gallons) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed <br> Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floating Roof |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.0 | 32.0 | 41,300 | 50,000 | $\$ 118,000$ | $\$ 163,400$ |
| 20.0 | 32.0 | 46,700 | 75,000 | $\$ 128,200$ | $\$ 180,700$ |
| 24.0 | 32.0 | 55,000 | 100,000 | $\$ 143,200$ | $\$ 205,100$ |
| 37.0 | 32.0 | 89,300 | 250,000 | $\$ 197,700$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| 47.0 | 40.0 | 142,400 | 500,000 | $\$ 267,800$ | $\$ 332,400$ |
| 57.0 | 40.0 | 195,000 | 750,000 | $\$ 335,700$ | $\$ 411,700$ |
| 66.0 | 40.0 | 245,700 | $1,000,000$ | $\$ 396,600$ | $\$ 480,200$ |
| 134.0 | 48.0 | 858,900 | $5,000,000$ | $\$ 1,061,200$ | $\$ 1,250,900$ |
| 175.0 | 56.0 | $2,219,100$ | $10,000,000$ | $\$ 2,273,000$ | $\$ 2,564,300$ |
| Cone Roof |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.0 | 32.0 | 21,000 | 50,000 | $\$ 42,400$ | $\$ 87,800$ |
| 20.0 | 32.0 | 26,400 | 75,000 | $\$ 48,900$ | $\$ 101,400$ |
| 24.0 | 32.0 | 34,800 | 100,000 | $\$ 59,200$ | $\$ 121,100$ |
| 37.0 | 32.0 | 69,400 | 250,000 | $\$ 98,600$ | $\$ 150,900$ |
| 47.0 | 40.0 | 123,100 | 500,000 | $\$ 157,800$ | $\$ 222,400$ |
| 57.0 | 40.0 | 176,400 | 750,000 | $\$ 214,800$ | $\$ 296,800$ |
| 66.0 | 40.0 | 228,000 | $1,000,000$ | $\$ 266,100$ | $\$ 349,700$ |
| 134.0 | 48.0 | 853,600 | $5,000,000$ | $\$ 864,300$ | $\$ 1,054,000$ |
| 175.0 | 56.0 | $2,226,100$ | $10,000,000$ | $\$ 2,040,700$ | $\$ 2,332,000$ |

Valve Tray Columns
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

|  |  | 15 psig |  | 150 psig |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter <br> (ft) | Number of Trays | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) |
| 5 | 2 | \$30,600 | \$159,500 | \$35,200 | \$161,300 |
| 5 | 6 | \$42,300 | \$175,700 | \$50,000 | \$180,600 |
| 5 | 10 | \$49,000 | \$192,100 | \$57,300 | \$192,000 |
| 5 | 14 | \$56,100 | \$203,400 | \$67,300 | \$206,200 |
| 5 | 20 | \$69,700 | \$225,900 | \$84,700 | \$232,500 |
| 5 | 26 | \$82,300 | \$246,200 | \$95,800 | \$251,000 |
| 5 | 34 | \$99,800 | \$285,800 | \$118,500 | \$285,300 |
| 5 | 40 | \$115,200 | \$310,300 | \$134,500 | \$315,300 |
| 5 | 46 | \$132,000 | \$335,200 | \$145,000 | \$332,700 |
| 5 | 52 | \$164,900 | \$378,000 | \$185,200 | \$382,600 |
| 5 | 60 | \$204,900 | \$429,700 | \$226,000 | \$435,000 |
| 10 | 2 | \$62,500 | \$249,000 | \$89,600 | \$269,500 |
| 10 | 6 | \$88,400 | \$282,100 | \$122,800 | \$309,900 |
| 10 | 10 | \$109,700 | \$311,100 | \$151,800 | \$346,700 |
| 10 | 14 | \$128,600 | \$349,700 | \$180,700 | \$386,000 |
| 10 | 20 | \$160,400 | \$394,800 | \$220,900 | \$443,400 |
| 10 | 26 | \$188,500 | \$436,200 | \$254,200 | \$492,200 |
| 10 | 34 | \$233,600 | \$498,700 | \$312,500 | \$565,800 |
| 10 | 40 | \$263,800 | \$558,700 | \$356,300 | \$624,000 |
| 10 | 46 | \$297,100 | \$605,000 | \$391,300 | \$678,300 |
| 10 | 52 | \$343,000 | \$666,100 | \$450,000 | \$754,600 |
| 10 | 60 | \$388,400 | \$727,700 | \$501,900 | \$822,100 |
| 15 | 2 | \$119,900 | \$396,200 | \$221,500 | \$475,100 |
| 15 | 6 | \$171,000 | \$469,300 | \$293,000 | \$559,000 |
| 15 | 10 | \$225,700 | \$539,500 | \$364,500 | \$652,400 |
| 15 | 14 | \$262,500 | \$587,100 | \$425,800 | \$725,200 |
| 15 | 20 | \$332,400 | \$677,700 | \$522,400 | \$843,700 |
| 15 | 26 | \$387,000 | \$767,500 | \$600,200 | \$943,900 |
| 15 | 34 | \$473,900 | \$878,600 | \$722,100 | \$1,089,500 |
| 15 | 40 | \$538,600 | \$958,700 | \$808,900 | \$1,191,500 |
| 15 | 46 | \$620,900 | \$1,061,600 | \$907,000 | \$1,314,300 |
| 15 | 52 | \$689,200 | \$1,147,900 | \$997,700 | \$1,423,400 |
| 15 | 60 | \$786,500 | \$1,269,800 | \$1,145,800 | \$1,594,100 |
| 20 | 2 | \$174,900 | \$574,900 | \$402,000 | \$806,800 |
| 20 | 6 | \$247,900 | \$674,400 | \$517,300 | \$945,200 |
| 20 | 10 | \$359,400 | \$815,300 | \$605,100 | \$1,064,600 |
| 20 | 14 | \$421,000 | \$892,200 | \$715,700 | \$1,190,500 |
| 20 | 20 | \$508,000 | \$1,023,200 | \$857,000 | \$1,363,200 |
| 20 | 26 | \$585,300 | \$1,114,100 | \$993,600 | \$1,520,800 |
| 20 | 34 | \$726,300 | \$1,285,400 | \$1,203,000 | \$1,762,200 |
| 20 | 40 | \$834,300 | \$1,421,000 | \$1,347,900 | \$1,931,400 |
| 20 | 46 | \$952,800 | \$1,560,900 | \$1,526,400 | \$2,138,200 |
| 20 | 52 | \$1,051,100 | \$1,682,200 | \$1,669,100 | \$2,314,600 |
| 20 | 60 | \$1,195,500 | \$1,856,100 | \$1,892,600 | \$2,568,700 |

## Sieve Tray Columns

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

|  |  |  | 15 psig |  | 150 psig |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diameter <br> (ft) | Number of Trays | Tangent/ Tangent Height | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) |
| 5 | 2 | 17 | \$30,000 | \$158,900 | \$34,700 | \$160,800 |
| 5 | 6 | 25 | \$41,200 | \$174,600 | \$48,900 | \$179,500 |
| 5 | 10 | 33 | \$47,500 | \$190,600 | \$55,800 | \$190,500 |
| 5 | 14 | 41 | \$54,200 | \$201,400 | \$65,400 | \$204,300 |
| 5 | 20 | 53 | \$67,400 | \$223,500 | \$82,300 | \$230,000 |
| 5 | 26 | 65 | \$79,500 | \$243,200 | \$93,000 | \$248,100 |
| 5 | 34 | 81 | \$96,300 | \$282,200 | \$115,000 | \$281,700 |
| 5 | 40 | 93 | \$111,000 | \$305,900 | \$130,300 | \$310,900 |
| 5 | 46 | 105 | \$126,800 | \$329,700 | \$140,200 | \$327,700 |
| 5 | 52 | 117 | \$159,500 | \$372,400 | \$179,800 | \$377,000 |
| 5 | 60 | 133 | \$203,300 | \$428,100 | \$218,900 | \$427,500 |
| 10 | 2 | 17 | \$60,600 | \$247,100 | \$87,700 | \$267,600 |
| 10 | 6 | 25 | \$84,600 | \$278,200 | \$119,000 | \$306,100 |
| 10 | 10 | 33 | \$104,500 | \$305,800 | \$146,500 | \$341,300 |
| 10 | 14 | 41 | \$122,100 | \$343,100 | \$174,200 | \$379,400 |
| 10 | 20 | 53 | \$152,300 | \$386,500 | \$212,800 | \$435,000 |
| 10 | 26 | 65 | \$178,900 | \$426,300 | \$244,700 | \$482,300 |
| 10 | 34 | 81 | \$221,100 | \$485,700 | \$300,000 | \$552,800 |
| 10 | 40 | 93 | \$248,400 | \$542,700 | \$341,500 | \$608,600 |
| 10 | 46 | 105 | \$280,200 | \$587,400 | \$374,400 | \$661,000 |
| 10 | 52 | 117 | \$324,600 | \$647,000 | \$430,900 | \$735,100 |
| 10 | 60 | 133 | \$366,300 | \$704,700 | \$479,800 | \$798,100 |
| 15 | 2 | 17 | \$115,900 | \$392,100 | \$217,600 | \$471,200 |
| 15 | 6 | 25 | \$163,200 | \$461,400 | \$285,200 | \$551,100 |
| 15 | 10 | 33 | \$214,900 | \$528,600 | \$353,700 | \$641,300 |
| 15 | 14 | 41 | \$249,100 | \$573,400 | \$412,300 | \$711,400 |
| 15 | 20 | 53 | \$315,600 | \$660,400 | \$505,600 | \$826,600 |
| 15 | 26 | 65 | \$367,100 | \$746,900 | \$580,400 | \$923,600 |
| 15 | 34 | 81 | \$446,800 | \$850,800 | \$696,200 | \$1,063,100 |
| 15 | 40 | 93 | \$509,300 | \$928,700 | \$778,400 | \$1,160,300 |
| 15 | 46 | 105 | \$585,800 | \$1,025,700 | \$871,800 | \$1,278,100 |
| 15 | 52 | 117 | \$645,700 | \$1,103,400 | \$958,000 | \$1,382,600 |
| 15 | 60 | 133 | \$739,400 | \$1,221,700 | \$1,100,000 | \$1,546,900 |
| 20 | 2 | 17 | \$168,200 | \$568,100 | \$395,400 | \$800,100 |
| 20 | 6 | 25 | \$234,600 | \$661,000 | \$504,000 | \$931,700 |
| 20 | 10 | 33 | \$341,200 | \$796,700 | \$586,800 | \$1,046,100 |
| 20 | 14 | 41 | \$398,500 | \$869,100 | \$693,100 | \$1,167,600 |
| 20 | 20 | 53 | \$479,700 | \$994,300 | \$828,800 | \$1,334,500 |
| 20 | 26 | 65 | \$551,900 | \$1,080,000 | \$960,300 | \$1,486,500 |
| 20 | 34 | 81 | \$681,100 | \$1,239,200 | \$1,159,400 | \$1,717,400 |
| 20 | 40 | 93 | \$781,300 | \$1,365,200 | \$1,296,600 | \$1,876,900 |
| 20 | 46 | 105 | \$892,200 | \$1,498,500 | \$1,467,400 | \$2,075,600 |
| 20 | 52 | 117 | \$988,200 | \$1,624,000 | \$1,602,400 | \$2,246,100 |
| 20 | 60 | 133 | \$1,120,200 | \$1,778,700 | \$1,815,600 | \$2,489,600 |

## Packed Columns

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

|  |  |  |  | 15 psig |  | 150 psig |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Feet) } \end{array}$ | Tangent/ <br> Tangent <br> Height <br> (Feet) | Packed Height (Feet) | Number of Sections | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) |
| 1 | 10 | 8 | 1 | \$6,700 | \$64,000 | \$6,600 | \$62,000 |
| 1 | 20 | 18 | 3 | \$8,700 | \$73,400 | \$9,000 | \$67,800 |
| 1.5 | 10 | 8 | 1 | \$10,300 | \$75,500 | \$11,300 | \$69,800 |
| 1.5 | 20 | 18 | 2 | \$13,900 | \$83,000 | \$15,400 | \$77,600 |
| 1.5 | 30 | 28 | 3 | \$16,600 | \$89,700 | \$18,700 | \$84,800 |
| 2 | 10 | 8 | 1 | \$12,900 | \$82,800 | \$13,900 | \$76,500 |
| 2 | 20 | 18 | 2 | \$16,900 | \$90,900 | \$18,500 | \$85,000 |
| 2 | 30 | 28 | 2 | \$18,600 | \$97,000 | \$20,100 | \$90,900 |
| 2 | 40 | 38 | 3 | \$21,500 | \$105,500 | \$23,600 | \$101,400 |
| 2.5 | 10 | 8 | 1 | \$14,700 | \$92,200 | \$15,400 | \$82,400 |
| 2.5 | 20 | 18 | 1 | \$16,700 | \$98,700 | \$17,600 | \$89,000 |
| 2.5 | 30 | 28 | 2 | \$22,400 | \$112,000 | \$23,800 | \$104,200 |
| 2.5 | 40 | 38 | 2 | \$23,200 | \$116,000 | \$24,600 | \$108,000 |
| 2.5 | 50 | 48 | 3 | \$30,000 | \$127,800 | \$31,800 | \$119,800 |
| 3 | 10 | 8 | 1 | \$16,200 | \$98,700 | \$17,200 | \$89,400 |
| 3 | 20 | 18 | 1 | \$21,900 | \$110,800 | \$23,500 | \$101,900 |
| 3 | 30 | 28 | 2 | \$24,300 | \$119,700 | \$25,900 | \$112,100 |
| 3 | 40 | 38 | 2 | \$26,500 | \$125,300 | \$29,200 | \$118,500 |
| 3 | 50 | 48 | 3 | \$31,200 | \$135,400 | \$34,700 | \$129,500 |
| 3 | 60 | 58 | 3 | \$35,400 | \$147,400 | \$37,500 | \$135,900 |
| 3.5 | 10 | 8 | 1 | \$20,600 | \$112,300 | \$23,100 | \$100,000 |
| 3.5 | 20 | 18 | 1 | \$26,400 | \$125,000 | \$30,600 | \$118,200 |
| 3.5 | 30 | 28 | 2 | \$30,400 | \$135,800 | \$35,000 | \$126,300 |
| 3.5 | 40 | 38 | 2 | \$31,500 | \$140,800 | \$36,300 | \$131,300 |
| 3.5 | 50 | 48 | 3 | \$38,700 | \$157,600 | \$45,000 | \$145,700 |
| 3.5 | 60 | 58 | 3 | \$43,400 | \$166,600 | \$48,000 | \$152,500 |
| 3.5 | 70 | 68 | 4 | \$48,400 | \$178,500 | \$57,600 | \$168,000 |

Shell and Tube Heat Exchangers
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Surface Area, <br> (Square feet) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | $\$ 13,200$ | $\$ 48,300$ |
| 200 | $\$ 13,600$ | $\$ 55,800$ |
| 300 | $\$ 14,500$ | $\$ 57,300$ |
| 400 | $\$ 16,100$ | $\$ 59,100$ |
| 500 | $\$ 16,200$ | $\$ 68,000$ |
| 600 | $\$ 16,600$ | $\$ 68,400$ |
| 700 | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ |
| 800 | $\$ 18,400$ | $\$ 70,400$ |
| 900 | $\$ 20,300$ | $\$ 72,600$ |
| 1000 | $\$ 20,800$ | $\$ 73,100$ |
| 2000 | $\$ 31,900$ | $\$ 95,800$ |
| 3000 | $\$ 44,700$ | $\$ 109,600$ |
| 4000 | $\$ 53,900$ | $\$ 132,900$ |
| 5000 | $\$ 62,100$ | $\$ 141,800$ |
| 6000 | $\$ 70,800$ | $\$ 151,100$ |
| 7000 | $\$ 99,600$ | $\$ 203,500$ |
| 8000 | $\$ 107,900$ | $\$ 212,400$ |
| 9000 | $\$ 117,100$ | $\$ 222,100$ |
| 10000 | $\$ 124,200$ | $\$ 229,800$ |
| 15000 | $\$ 186,300$ | $\$ 321,500$ |
| 20000 | $\$ 248,400$ | $\$ 427,000$ |
| 30000 | $\$ 354,000$ | $\$ 573,900$ |
| 40000 | $\$ 479,100$ | $\$ 767,500$ |
| 50000 | $\$ 582,500$ | $\$ 953,000$ |
| 60000 | $\$ 708,300$ | $\$ 1,106,600$ |
| 70000 | $\$ 839,000$ | $\$ 1,425,600$ |

Air Cooler
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Surface Area, <br> (Square feet) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | $\$ 21,300$ | $\$ 47,600$ |
| 200 | $\$ 24,100$ | $\$ 51,800$ |
| 300 | $\$ 26,100$ | $\$ 54,800$ |
| 400 | $\$ 29,100$ | $\$ 58,100$ |
| 500 | $\$ 30,900$ | $\$ 59,900$ |
| 600 | $\$ 33,000$ | $\$ 62,000$ |
| 700 | $\$ 36,000$ | $\$ 65,300$ |
| 800 | $\$ 38,100$ | $\$ 67,400$ |
| 900 | $\$ 40,300$ | $\$ 69,900$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 42,000$ | $\$ 71,600$ |
| 2,000 | $\$ 60,800$ | $\$ 94,100$ |
| 4,000 | $\$ 96,900$ | $\$ 144,700$ |
| 6,000 | $\$ 135,400$ | $\$ 184,700$ |
| 8,000 | $\$ 179,100$ | $\$ 239,000$ |
| 10,000 | $\$ 217,300$ | $\$ 278,200$ |

Spiral Plate Heat Exchanger
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Heat Transfer <br> Area, <br> (Square feet) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 | $\$ 6,700$ | $\$ 19,200$ |
| 100 | $\$ 9,100$ | $\$ 25,100$ |
| 200 | $\$ 13,200$ | $\$ 34,000$ |
| 300 | $\$ 21,100$ | $\$ 49,400$ |
| 400 | $\$ 25,500$ | $\$ 57,400$ |
| 500 | $\$ 29,900$ | $\$ 65,000$ |
| 600 | $\$ 34,400$ | $\$ 72,400$ |
| 700 | $\$ 42,600$ | $\$ 85,300$ |
| 800 | $\$ 35,500$ | $\$ 74,200$ |
| 900 | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 81,300$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 44,700$ | $\$ 88,500$ |
| 1,100 | $\$ 49,600$ | $\$ 95,700$ |
| 1,200 | $\$ 54,700$ | $\$ 102,900$ |
| 1,300 | $\$ 60,100$ | $\$ 110,400$ |

Furnace
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Heat Duty <br> (MMBTU per <br> hour) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $\$ 124,600$ | $\$ 96,300$ |
| 10 | $\$ 263,100$ | $\$ 355,100$ |
| 25 | $\$ 399,000$ | $\$ 518,600$ |
| 50 | $\$ 625,400$ | $\$ 771,100$ |
| 100 | $\$ 1,081,500$ | $\$ 1,272,800$ |
| 200 | $\$ 1,868,900$ | $\$ 2,641,500$ |
| 300 | $\$ 2,573,100$ | $\$ 3,534,400$ |
| 400 | $\$ 3,228,000$ | $\$ 4,354,800$ |
| 500 | $\$ 3,848,400$ | $\$ 5,126,000$ |

## Cooling Tower

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Water Rate <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 150 | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 60,200$ |
| 300 | $\$ 6,500$ | $\$ 65,000$ |
| 600 | $\$ 11,400$ | $\$ 70,500$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 81,700$ |
| 2,000 | $\$ 34,400$ | $\$ 106,100$ |
| 3,000 | $\$ 50,900$ | $\$ 134,200$ |
| 4,000 | $\$ 67,100$ | $\$ 158,800$ |
| 5,000 | $\$ 83,200$ | $\$ 180,400$ |
| 6,000 | $\$ 99,200$ | $\$ 211,100$ |

Package Steam Boiler
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Capacity <br> (Pound per <br> hour) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10,000 | $\$ 91,700$ | $\$ 283,100$ |
| 25,000 | $\$ 148,100$ | $\$ 368,900$ |
| 50,000 | $\$ 212,700$ | $\$ 468,900$ |
| 100,000 | $\$ 305,700$ | $\$ 607,300$ |
| 150,000 | $\$ 439,400$ | $\$ \$ 83,000$ |
| 200,000 | $\$ 568,400$ | $\$ 920,600$ |
| 250,000 | $\$ 694,000$ | $\$ 1,109,100$ |
| 300,000 | $\$ 816,900$ | $\$ 1,238,600$ |

Evaporator
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

|  | Vertical Tube |  | Horizontal Tube |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area <br> (Square <br> feet) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Installed <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Installed <br> Cost <br> (\$) |
| 100 | $\$ 62,600$ | $\$ 120,800$ | $\$ 34,500$ | $\$ 73,300$ |
| 500 | $\$ 151,600$ | $\$ 273,500$ | $\$ 81,100$ | $\$ 161,300$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 221,900$ | $\$ 388,400$ | $\$ 117,100$ | $\$ 226,300$ |
| 2,000 | $\$ 324,700$ | $\$ 555,200$ | $\$ 169,000$ | $\$ 317,100$ |
| 3,000 | $\$ 405,700$ | $\$ 689,100$ | $\$ 209,500$ | $\$ 386,300$ |
| 4,000 | $\$ 475,200$ | $\$ 803,300$ | $\$ 244,100$ | $\$ 444,300$ |
| 5,000 | $\$ 537,100$ | $\$ 904,700$ | $\$ 274,400$ | $\$ 496,800$ |
| 6,000 | $\$ 593,700$ | $\$ 997,000$ | $\$ 302,600$ | $\$ 545,600$ |
| 7,000 |  |  | $\$ 328,300$ | $\$ 590,500$ |
| 8,000 |  |  | $\$ 352,400$ | $\$ 632,400$ |
| 9,000 |  |  | $\$ 375,100$ | $\$ 671,900$ |
| 10,000 |  |  | $\$ 396,600$ | $\$ 709,200$ |

## Crusher

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Diameter <br> (Inches) | Driver Power <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gyratory Crusher |  |  |  |
| 20 | 40 | $\$ 29,300$ | $\$ 52,400$ |
| 40 | 150 | $\$ 253,600$ | $\$ 294,400$ |
| 60 | 350 | $\$ 698,200$ | $\$ 787,200$ |
| 80 | 600 | $\$ 1,400,900$ | $\$ 1,553,600$ |
| 100 | 900 | $\$ 2,415,500$ | $\$ 2,666,100$ |
| 120 | 1250 | $\$ 3,778,800$ | $\$ 4,171,200$ |
| Rotary Crusher |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | $\$ 2,300$ | $\$ 5,200$ |
|  | 4 | $\$ 3,700$ | $\$ 6,800$ |
|  | 8 | $\$ 6,100$ | $\$ 9,500$ |
|  | 12 | $\$ 8,100$ | $\$ 11,800$ |
|  | 16 | $\$ 9,900$ | $\$ 13,900$ |
|  | 20 | $\$ 11,600$ | $\$ 15,800$ |
|  | 25 | $\$ 13,600$ | $\$ 18,100$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ring Granulator |  | $\$ 23,400$ | $\$ 28,100$ |
|  | 75 | $\$ 50,700$ | $\$ 58,000$ |
|  | 125 | $\$ 75,900$ | $\$ 85,900$ |
|  | 250 | $\$ 197,400$ | $\$ 218,700$ |
|  | 600 | $\$ 303,300$ | $\$ 335,600$ |
|  | 1000 | $\$ 346,400$ | $\$ 382,200$ |

Mill
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Diameter/ <br> Length <br> (Inches) | Driver Power <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ball Mill |  |  |  |
| $3 / 3$ | 7.5 | $\$ 25,100$ | $\$ 62,900$ |
| $4 / 4$ | 20 | $\$ 109,100$ | $\$ 97,900$ |
| $5 / 5$ | 50 | $\$ 182,900$ | $\$ 234,400$ |
| $6 / 6$ | 100 | $\$ 255,600$ | $\$ 311,700$ |
|  | 200 | $\$ 411,300$ | $\$ 478,500$ |
|  | 300 | $\$ 492,200$ | $\$ 573,100$ |
|  | 400 | $\$ 585,200$ | $\$ 673,100$ |
|  | 450 |  |  |
|  | 30 | $\$ 61,400$ | $\$ 76,900$ |
|  | 75 | $\$ 107,500$ | $\$ 131,100$ |
| Roller Mill |  | $\$ 164,200$ | $\$ 197,000$ |
|  | 150 | $\$ 195,800$ | $\$ 233,100$ |
|  | 200 | $\$ 224,400$ | $\$ 265,800$ |
|  | 250 | $\$ 250,900$ | $\$ 296,100$ |
|  | 300 | $\$ 275,700$ | $\$ 324,400$ |
|  | 350 | $\$ 299,100$ | $\$ 351,000$ |

Dryers
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Area <br> (Square <br> feet) | Driver Power <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direct Contact Rotary Dryer |  |  |  |
| 100 |  | $\$ 26,500$ | $\$ 42,400$ |
| 400 |  | $\$ 99,500$ | $\$ 142,800$ |
| 800 |  | $\$ 192,700$ | $\$ 264,800$ |
| 1200 |  | $\$ 283,600$ | $\$ 380,800$ |
| 1600 |  | $\$ 431,100$ | $\$ 493,400$ |
| 2000 |  | $\$ 500$ | $\$ 603,500$ |
| Single Atmospheric Drum Dryer |  |  |  |
| 10 | 5 | $\$ 53,900$ | $\$ 73,800$ |
| 40 | 10 | $\$ 125,800$ | $\$ 162,900$ |
| 80 | 15 | $\$ 192,300$ | $\$ 243,800$ |
| 120 | 20 | $\$ 246,500$ | $\$ 309,100$ |
| 160 | 20 | $\$ 293,900$ | $\$ 365,900$ |
| 200 | 25 | $\$ 337,100$ | $\$ 417,400$ |
| Atmospheric Tray Batch Dryer |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | $\$ 6,400$ | $\$ 10,900$ |
| 60 |  | $\$ 8,400$ | $\$ 13,900$ |
| 90 |  | $\$ 9,800$ | $\$ 16,000$ |
| 120 |  | $\$ 10,900$ | $\$ 17,700$ |
| 150 |  | $\$ 11,900$ | $\$ 19,200$ |
| 180 |  | $\$ 12,800$ | $\$ 20,500$ |
| 200 |  | $\$ 13,300$ | $\$ 21,300$ |

Centrifuge
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Screen Diameter (Inches) | Driver Power (Horsepower) | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch Bottom-Suspended Filtering Centrifuge |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.5 | \$10,100 | \$21,500 |
| 25 | 2 | \$11,900 | \$23,500 |
| 30 | 3 | \$13,600 | \$25,500 |
| 35 | 5 | \$15,300 | \$27,400 |
| 40 | 7.5 | \$16,900 | \$29,300 |
| 45 | 10 | \$18,400 | \$31,100 |
| 48 | 10 | \$19,300 | \$32,200 |
| Batch Top-Suspended Filtering Centrifuge |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1.5 | \$12,000 | \$23,400 |
| 25 | 2 | \$16,000 | \$27,700 |
| 30 | 3 | \$20,200 | \$32,300 |
| 35 | 5 | \$24,700 | \$37,100 |
| 40 | 7.5 | \$29,300 | \$42,100 |
| 45 | 10 | \$34,100 | \$47,300 |
| 50 | 15 | \$39,100 | \$52,800 |
| Continuous Filtration Vibratory Centrifuge |  |  |  |
| 48 | 30 | \$58,600 | \$91,900 |
| 50 | 40 | \$66,700 | \$100,900 |
| 52 | 50 | \$75,500 | \$113,000 |
| 54 | 60 | \$85,000 | \$124,000 |
| 56 | 75 | \$95,400 | \$135,800 |
| Reciprocating Conveyor, w/Continuous Filtering Centrifuge |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | \$112,900 | \$140,500 |
| 25 |  | \$175,200 | \$213,200 |
| 35 |  | \$246,100 | \$295,100 |
| 45 |  | \$317,200 | \$376,200 |
| 50 |  | \$352,900 | \$416,800 |

Filter
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| $\qquad$ | Frame Capacity (Cubic feet) | Surface Area (Square feet) | Purchased Equipment Cost (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cartridge Filter |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  | \$1,100 | \$5,200 |
| 100 |  |  | \$1,700 | \$6,800 |
| 300 |  |  | \$2,400 | \$8,300 |
| 600 |  |  | \$4,200 | \$10,300 |
| 900 |  |  | \$5,800 | \$13,500 |
| 1200 |  |  | \$7,300 | \$15,200 |
| Automatic Plate and Frame |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  | \$100,200 | \$145,500 |
|  | 20 |  | \$114,200 | \$160,400 |
|  | 30 |  | \$123,300 | \$170,100 |
|  | 40 |  | \$130,200 | \$177,500 |
|  | 50 |  | \$135,900 | \$183,600 |
| Tubular Fabric Filter |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  | \$5,500 | \$13,000 |
| 500 |  |  | \$15,700 | \$27,100 |
| 1000 |  |  | \$24,700 | \$39,900 |
| 1500 |  |  | \$32,200 | \$51,200 |
| 2000 |  |  | \$38,800 | \$59,500 |
| 2500 |  |  | \$44,900 | \$69,200 |
| 3000 |  |  | \$50,600 | \$76,400 |
| 3400 |  |  | \$54,900 | \$81,700 |
| Drum Filter |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 100 | \$63,400 | \$104,200 |
|  |  | 250 | \$87,700 | \$134,400 |
|  |  | 500 | \$120,200 | \$175,400 |
|  |  | 750 | \$145,000 | \$205,200 |
|  |  | 1000 | \$168,900 | \$237,400 |
|  |  | 1500 | \$192,900 | \$275,700 |
|  |  | 2000 | \$208,300 | \$298,900 |

## Agitators

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Driver Power <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | $\$ 7,700$ | $\$ 9,500$ |
| 10 | $\$ 13,900$ | $\$ 15,900$ |
| 25 | $\$ 19,500$ | $\$ 21,600$ |
| 50 | $\$ 35,400$ | $\$ 37,700$ |
| 75 | $\$ 50,200$ | $\$ 52,700$ |
| 100 | $\$ 64,300$ | $\$ 67,000$ |

## Rotary Pump

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 9,000$ |
| 50 | $\$ 2,100$ | $\$ 10,900$ |
| 100 | $\$ 2,400$ | $\$ 12,600$ |
| 150 | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 13,200$ |
| 200 | $\$ 3,400$ | $\$ 13,700$ |
| 250 | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 16,000$ |
| 300 | $\$ 4,400$ | $\$ 16,300$ |
| 400 | $\$ 5,300$ | $\$ 17,300$ |
| 500 | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 19,200$ |
| 600 | $\$ 8,700$ | $\$ 21,000$ |
| 700 | $\$ 10,700$ | $\$ 25,700$ |
| 750 | $\$ 11,600$ | $\$ 26,600$ |

## Inline Pump

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | $\$ 1,500$ | $\$ 9,000$ |
| 50 | $\$ 2,100$ | $\$ 10,900$ |
| 100 | $\$ 2,400$ | $\$ 12,600$ |
| 150 | $\$ 3,000$ | $\$ 13,200$ |
| 200 | $\$ 3,400$ | $\$ 13,700$ |
| 250 | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 16,000$ |
| 300 | $\$ 4,400$ | $\$ 16,300$ |
| 400 | $\$ 5,300$ | $\$ 17,300$ |
| 500 | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 19,200$ |
| 600 | $\$ 8,700$ | $\$ 21,000$ |
| 700 | $\$ 10,700$ | $\$ 25,700$ |
| 750 | $\$ 11,600$ | $\$ 26,600$ |

Centrifugal Pump
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | $\$ 3,400$ | $\$ 22,800$ |
| 200 | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 23,800$ |
| 300 | $\$ 4,700$ | $\$ 27,700$ |
| 400 | $\$ 5,300$ | $\$ 28,500$ |
| 500 | $\$ 5,800$ | $\$ 29,000$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 8,700$ | $\$ 37,500$ |
| 2,000 | $\$ 10,200$ | $\$ 44,800$ |
| 3,000 | $\$ 15,200$ | $\$ 58,100$ |
| 4,000 | $\$ 19,500$ | $\$ 72,300$ |
| 5,000 | $\$ 23,800$ | $\$ 77,100$ |
| 6,000 | $\$ 28,400$ | $\$ 93,400$ |
| 7,000 | $\$ 37,800$ | $\$ 103,000$ |
| 8,000 | $\$ 41,300$ | $\$ 119,700$ |
| 9,000 | $\$ 47,300$ | $\$ 126,200$ |
| 10,000 | $\$ 51,200$ | $\$ 144,800$ |

## Reciprocating Pump

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

|  |  | Duplex |  | Triplex |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Driver <br> Power <br> (Horse- <br> power) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| 25 | 2 | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 10,600$ | $\$ 7,700$ | $\$ 15,500$ |
| 50 | 5 | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 14,600$ | $\$ 13,800$ | $\$ 22,700$ |
| 100 | 7.5 | $\$ 8,800$ | $\$ 17,800$ | $\$ 17,900$ | $\$ 28,200$ |
| 200 | 15 | $\$ 13,100$ | $\$ 22,500$ | $\$ 27,900$ | $\$ 38,600$ |
| 300 | 25 | $\$ 17,600$ | $\$ 28,800$ | $\$ 38,700$ | $\$ 51,200$ |
| 400 | 30 | $\$ 19,600$ | $\$ 31,000$ | $\$ 43,500$ | $\$ 56,200$ |
| 500 | 40 | $\$ 23,100$ | $\$ 34,700$ | $\$ 52,300$ | $\$ 65,300$ |
| 600 | 50 | $\$ 26,300$ | $\$ 38,100$ | $\$ 60,300$ | $\$ 73,400$ |
| 700 | 60 | $\$ 29,200$ | $\$ 43,700$ | $\$ 67,800$ | $\$ 83,700$ |
| 800 | 60 | $\$ 29,200$ | $\$ 43,700$ | $\$ 67,800$ | $\$ 83,800$ |
| 900 | 75 | $\$ 33,300$ | $\$ 48,100$ | $\$ 78,200$ | $\$ 94,500$ |
| 1,000 | 75 | $\$ 33,300$ | $\$ 48,200$ | $\$ 78,200$ | $\$ 94,500$ |

Vacuum Pump
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Stages | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 1 | $\$ 4,100$ | $\$ 18,600$ |
| 75 | 1 | $\$ 6,400$ | $\$ 21,100$ |
| 150 | 1 | $\$ 8,900$ | $\$ 24,000$ |
| 200 | 1 | $\$ 11,500$ | $\$ 26,900$ |
| 300 | 1 | $\$ 16,200$ | $\$ 32,300$ |
| 400 | 1 | $\$ 20,800$ | $\$ 37,100$ |
| 500 | 1 | $\$ 25,200$ | $\$ 41,800$ |
| 600 | 1 | $\$ 29,500$ | $\$ 46,300$ |
| 700 | 1 | $\$ 33,700$ | $\$ 50,800$ |
| 30 | 2 | $\$ 6,100$ | $\$ 20,600$ |
| 75 | 2 | $\$ 8,500$ | $\$ 23,200$ |
| 150 | 2 | $\$ 11,000$ | $\$ 26,100$ |
| 200 | 2 | $\$ 13,600$ | $\$ 29,000$ |
| 300 | 2 | $\$ 18,500$ | $\$ 34,600$ |
| 400 | 2 | $\$ 22,900$ | $\$ 39,200$ |
| 500 | 2 | $\$ 27,100$ | $\$ 43,700$ |
| 600 | 2 | $\$ 31,000$ | $\$ 47,800$ |
| 700 | 2 | $\$ 34,800$ | $\$ 51,900$ |

## Reciprocating Compressor

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Stages | Actual <br> Capacity <br> (Cubic feet/ <br> minute) | Driver Power <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ | Installed Cost <br> $\mathbf{( \$ )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 250 | 40 | $\$ 186,200$ | $\$ 245,500$ |
| 1 | 500 | 75 | $\$ 233,700$ | $\$ 300,300$ |
| 1 | 1,000 | 125 | $\$ 301,700$ | $\$ 380,400$ |
| 1 | 5,000 | 600 | $\$ 589,600$ | $\$ 717,500$ |
| 1 | 10,000 | 1,250 | $\$ 810,400$ | $\$ 970,700$ |
| 1 | 25,000 | 3,000 | $\$ 1,891,500$ | $\$ 2,139,000$ |
| 1 | 50,000 | 5,500 | $\$ 4,024,800$ | $\$ 4,469,700$ |
| 1 | 60,000 | 7,000 | $\$ 4,837,400$ | $\$ 5,354,000$ |
| 3 | 250 | 100 | $\$ 297,000$ | $\$ 358,800$ |
| 3 | 500 | 150 | $\$ 355,400$ | $\$ 422,200$ |
| 3 | 1,000 | 300 | $\$ 431,400$ | $\$ 509,700$ |
| 3 | 5,000 | 1,500 | $\$ 822,400$ | $\$ 932,300$ |
| 3 | 10,000 | 3,000 | $\$ 1,489,700$ | $\$ 1,646,100$ |
| 3 | 25,000 | 7,000 | $\$ 3,794,300$ | $\$ 4,135,200$ |
| 3 | 35,000 | 10,000 | $\$ 5,519,000$ | $\$ 6,038,600$ |
| 3 | 250 | 800 | $\$ 389,400$ | $\$ 467,200$ |
| 3 | 500 | 1,500 | $\$ 534,100$ | $\$ 627,400$ |
| 3 | 1,000 | 3,000 | $\$ 1,080,700$ | $\$ 1,211,500$ |
| 3 | 5,000 | 15,000 | $\$ 3,750,700$ | $\$ 4,211,800$ |
| 3 | 7,000 | 22,500 | $\$ 4,712,700$ | $\$ 5,317,700$ |

Centrifugal Compressor
$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Stages | Actual <br> Capacity <br> Cubic feet/ <br> minute) | Driver Power <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 500 | 60 | $\$ 595,400$ | $\$ 702,700$ |
| 4 | 1,000 | 125 | $\$ 626,400$ | $\$ 749,300$ |
| 4 | 5,000 | 600 | $\$ 719,700$ | $\$ 907,100$ |
| 4 | 10,000 | 1,250 | $\$ 1,114,800$ | $\$ 1,339,000$ |
| 4 | 50,000 | 6,000 | $\$ 2,699,800$ | $\$ 3,247,700$ |
| 4 | 100,000 | 12,000 | $\$ 5,275,800$ | $\$ 6,142,000$ |
| 4 | 150,000 | 17,000 | $\$ 8,722,600$ | $\$ 9,735,100$ |
| 4 | 200,000 | 25,000 | $\$ 9,627,600$ | $\$ 10,980,400$ |
| 9 | 500 | 125 | $\$ 975,600$ | $\$ 1,066,700$ |
| 9 | 1,000 | 250 | $\$ 1,011,200$ | $\$ 1,118,500$ |
| 9 | 5,000 | 1,250 | $\$ 1,146,600$ | $\$ 1,286,000$ |
| 9 | 10,000 | 2,500 | $\$ 1,889,300$ | $\$ 2,060,500$ |
| 8 | 50,000 | 12,000 | $\$ 4,821,600$ | $\$ 5,356,700$ |
| 8 | 100,000 | 25,000 | $\$ 12,444,800$ | $\$ 13,267,000$ |
| 7 | 150,000 | 37,500 | $\$ 18,991,500$ | $\$ 19,966,000$ |
| 7 | 200,000 | 50,000 | $\$ 19,394,300$ | $\$ 20,624,400$ |
| 9 | 500 | 1,750 | $\$ 1,446,400$ | $\$ 1,548,200$ |
| 9 | 1,000 | 3,500 | $\$ 1,560,500$ | $\$ 1,680,300$ |
| 9 | 5,000 | 16,000 | $\$ 2,258,600$ | $\$ 2,527,000$ |
| 9 | 10,000 | 32,500 | $\$ 4,053,700$ | $\$ 4,467,800$ |
| 9 | 15,000 | 50,000 | $\$ 5,171,000$ | $\$ 5,718,400$ |

## Centrifugal Fan

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Actual Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 700 | $\$ 1,100$ | $\$ 7,000$ |
| 1,500 | $\$ 1,100$ | $\$ 7,400$ |
| 5,000 | $\$ 1,800$ | $\$ 9,800$ |
| 10,000 | $\$ 2,500$ | $\$ 13,100$ |
| 25,000 | $\$ 6,700$ | $\$ 27,900$ |
| 50,000 | $\$ 13,300$ | $\$ 49,900$ |
| 75,000 | $\$ 19,900$ | $\$ 64,900$ |
| 100,000 | $\$ 31,400$ | $\$ 93,400$ |
| 150,000 | $\$ 44,600$ | $\$ 126,500$ |

## Rotary Blower

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Actual Capacity <br> (Gallons/ <br> minute) | Purchased <br> Equipment <br> Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | $\$ 4,800$ | $\$ 11,500$ |
| 500 | $\$ 10,400$ | $\$ 19,100$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 24,900$ |
| 2,000 | $\$ 22,000$ | $\$ 34,800$ |
| 3,000 | $\$ 28,100$ | $\$ 44,400$ |
| 4,000 | $\$ 36,700$ | $\$ 54,600$ |

## Gas Turbine

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Power Output <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> $(\$)$ | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,000 | $\$ 476,200$ | $\$ 565,200$ |
| 5,000 | $\$ 1,254,100$ | $\$ 1,376,400$ |
| 10,000 | $\$ 1,903,000$ | $\$ 2,051,300$ |
| 50,000 | $\$ 9,639,300$ | $\$ 9,975,400$ |
| 100,000 | $\$ 16,148,100$ | $\$ 16,738,600$ |
| 150,000 | $\$ 21,837,300$ | $\$ 22,659,400$ |
| 200,000 | $\$ 27,052,000$ | $\$ 28,056,000$ |
| 250,000 | $\$ 31,940,100$ | $\$ 33,192,400$ |
| 300,000 | $\$ 36,583,000$ | $\$ 37,998,000$ |
| 350,000 | $\$ 41,031,000$ | $\$ 42,609,000$ |
| 370,000 | $\$ 42,764,000$ | $\$ 44,407,000$ |

## Steam Turbine

$1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter 1998 dollars

| Power Output <br> (Horsepower) | Purchased <br> Equipment Cost <br> (\$) | Installed Cost <br> (\$) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | $\$ 19,100$ | $\$ 36,000$ |
| 50 | $\$ 25,200$ | $\$ 46,500$ |
| 100 | $\$ 28,500$ | $\$ 53,600$ |
| 500 | $\$ 37,700$ | $\$ 108,800$ |
| 950 | $\$ 42,100$ | $\$ 126,700$ |
| 1,000 | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 169,800$ |
| 2,500 | $\$ 269,000$ | $\$ 364,400$ |
| 5,000 | $\$ 575,000$ | $\$ 688,000$ |
| 7,500 | $\$ 781,400$ | $\$ 907,900$ |
| 10,000 | $\$ 971,400$ | $\$ 1,106,600$ |
| 15,000 | $\$ 1,320,100$ | $\$ 1,477,100$ |
| 20,000 | $\$ 1,641,100$ | $\$ 1,825,200$ |
| 30,000 | $\$ 2,230,200$ | $\$ 2,447,300$ |


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Humphreys, Dr. Kenneth K. PE CCE, "Preliminary Capital and Operating Cost Estimating (for the Process and Utility Industries)," course notes.
    ${ }^{4}$ Peters, Max S. and Klaus D. Timmerhaus, "Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers" McGraw-Hill, Inc. 1991.

